

South Lanarkshire Local Development Plan Main Issues Report



May 2012



Consultation and Engagement



Community and
Enterprise Resources

**South Lanarkshire
Local Development Plan
Main Issues Report**

Consultation and Engagement

Contents	Page No
1. Introduction	2
2. Summary of findings	3
3. Secondary School pupils workshop	4
4. Community Council workshops	5
5. Stakeholders meetings	7
6. Results of stand points survey	8
7. Overall conclusions	9
Appendix 1 – List of schools attending community event 1st September 2011	10
Appendix 2 – Community groups attending consultation events	11
Appendix 3 – List of attendees at public evening meetings	12
Appendix 4 - Locations of stand points	13
Appendix 5 – stand point survey	14
Appendix 6 - Planning issues raised through consultation	47

For further information or to enquire about having this information supplied in an alternative format or language, please phone 01698 455934 or email: planning@southlanarkshire.gov.uk

1. Introduction

1.1 This report outlines the consultation activities undertaken by South Lanarkshire Council in preparing the Main Issues Report (MIR) for the South Lanarkshire Local Development Plan. It sets out what was done, with whom and what conclusions have been taken from this activity for consideration in the MIR. The range of activities undertaken was designed to elicit meaningful comment from a wide range of parties who have an interest in South Lanarkshire's development and operations. The following was undertaken during late summer/autumn 2011:

Event	Date (2011)	Attended by
Secondary Schools Consultation Event	1 st September	Pupils from secondary schools across South Lanarkshire
Community Councils engagement workshops: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • East Kilbride Community Councils • Clydesdale Community Councils • Hamilton, Cambuslang and Rutherglen Community Councils 	5 th September 22 nd September 29 th September	Invited community councils
Public engagement workshops: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cambuslang and Rutherglen • Hamilton • Clydesdale • East Kilbride 	15 th September 3 rd October 4 th October 6 th October	Invited residents and tenants associations plus advert inviting general public to attend
Area wide survey using 'Stand-Points' and internet.	1 st August – 14 th October	1231 responses from survey locations throughout the Council area and from internet responses
Disability Forum	19 th October	Disability Forum
Meetings with Stakeholders (ongoing as MIR develops) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transport • Environment • Forestry • Water, Flooding and Air Quality • Noise • Assets • Industry and Economy • Community Issues • Housing 	29 th August 7 th September 12 th September 22 nd September 5 th October 7 th October 10 th October 12 th October 13 th October	Transport Scotland, SPT, Roads and Transportation SNH, SLC Community Greenspace, SLC Community Environmental Health Forestry Commission SEPA, Scottish Water, Community Environmental Health, Roads and Transport Flooding Community Environmental Health Enterprise Estates Clyde Gateway, Enterprise Property Development Community Housing and Technical Services
Seniors Together	24 th October	Members of the South Lanarkshire Seniors Together Forum

2. Summary of findings

2.1 The conclusions that have been taken from these consultation activities are in the form of a series of main issues that will be considered by the Main Issues Report (MIR) and where appropriate by the strategic environmental assessment of the MIR. These issues are:

- Housing
- Economy
- Renewable Energy and Waste
- Transportation
- Environment
- Miscellaneous

2.2 The MIR has been structured to cover areas where the Council proposes to make change in policy direction or where there has been significant feedback from the consultation events to merit reconsideration of the Councils position regarding particular policies.

2.3 Where a comment has been made that is not directly related to planning this has been set to the appropriate service in the Council for consideration.



3. Secondary school pupils workshop

- 3.1 A workshop with secondary school pupils took place on Thursday 1st September 2011. A total of 43 young people took part from 17 of the 19 secondary schools across South Lanarkshire. The pupils ranged in age and year group including some children with additional support needs. A list of the schools that attended is included in Appendix 1.
- 3.2 The pupils were asked to watch a short spoof video of two elderly 'ladies' discussing a consultation they had received about the local plan and finished with a challenge to ask them what they would do. This led to a series of tasks aimed at getting issues on a variety of topics including housing, environment, industry, retail, community and renewable energy. The pupils were invited to vote on a range of issues raised using the Option Finder Software. In addition the young people were given the opportunity to use the 'Voxor' unit which allowed them to answer four questions set and stored on a laptop. The main planning related issues raised by the young people were
- Affordable Housing
 - The Economy
 - Green Space
 - Energy and Waste
- 3.3 Other issues raised revolved around their schools, particularly safe routes to school and other transport issues. All of the issues raised through consultation are included in Appendix 6.



4. Community Council workshops

4.1 A series of evening community council workshops took place in September and October 2011 in East Kilbride, Lanark and Hamilton (the list of Community Groups attending is included in Appendix 2). The workshops all took the same format with participants being asked to consider what they considered the main issues that should be addressed in the Local Development Plan that affect their community. A full list of the issues raised is included in Appendix 6. There were a huge variety of issues raised and many of them were non-planning related. However the main issues raised by all the community councils were as follows:.

4.2 Housing Issues

- Lack of affordable housing
- Lack of sheltered housing
- Sale of Council housing
- Too many housing developments
- Using brownfield land instead of greenfield land

4.3 Retailing Issues

- Do more to encourage regeneration of town centres
- More consideration of small businesses when planning major retail developments
- Limit the number of superstores
- More local shops to support local communities and businesses
- Allow more flexibility in town centres

4.4 Industry Issues

- Encourage range of uses in some industrial estates
- Re-use some industrial land for housing
- Better range of industrial units in terms of size and location
- Protect industrial areas from inappropriate housing developments
- Good transport links essential

4.5 Transport and Travel Issues

- New development should be in sustainable locations
- Parking and retailing needs to be sorted out
- Position of street furniture should be taken into account particularly for those with a disability
- Need to look at the provision of parking in new housing developments – lots of congestion issues with houses having 3 or more cars
- Rural roads are not always suitable for the traffic that uses them

4.6 Renewable Energy Issues

- Split between those in favour of windfarms and those against
- Encourage use of solar power
- Increase energy generation through anaerobic digestion
- Promote double glazing and improved house insulation
- New developments should be 100% carbon neutral

4.7 Waste Issues

- No further landfill
- Covert waste to power through heat treatment
- More information on waste prevention and disposal needed
- Use more recycled materials in construction
- Special uplifts should be free to prevent dumping of rubbish in the countryside
- Better use of garden and food waste

4.8 Environment Issues

- Keep green and rural land
- Plant more trees
- Preserve heritage features
- Reuse vacant buildings
- Better design of buildings in the countryside
- Build wildlife corridors in new developments

4.9 Green Belt Issues

- Make Green Belt policy less/more restrictive
- Use Green Belt for more recreation use
- No windfarms in the Green Belt
- Give priority to small building sites on edge of Green Belt
- Maintain corridors of Green Belt

4.10 Miscellaneous Issues

- More planning gain
- More enforcement
- Policies should require higher design standards
- More dialogue with objectors and more consultation on plans
- More transparency of planning system



5. Stakeholders meetings

- 5.1 A series of meetings took place between the Council and various stakeholder and statutory consultee groups. All of the issues raised from community consultation were discussed as were the existing policies in the local plans. Each of the stakeholder groups were asked to consider what they thought were the emerging issues that were facing South Lanarkshire Council in the development of the Local development Plan Main Issues Report. The comments received have been translated into the preferred and alternative options presented in the MIR.



6. Results of stand points survey

6.1 During August and September, Stand Points were placed in locations throughout South Lanarkshire to assess opinion on various aspects of South Lanarkshire development which impacts on communities.

6.2 A total of 1231 people completed the survey. A break down of the profile of respondents is as follows:

- 21% were from Clydesdale, 29% were from Hamilton, 30% were from East Kilbride, 12% were from Cambuslang/Rutherglen.
- 9% were from out with South Lanarkshire.
- 38% were male and 62% female.
- 13% were under 18, 77% between 18 and 65 and 5% over 65.
- 11% considered themselves to have a disability
- 8% were from an ethnic minority background

6.3 A complete outline of the survey is included in Appendix 5



7. Overall conclusions

7.1 The Council has undertaken a range of consultation and engagement activities at an early stage to inform the preparation of the Main Issues Report. These activities have produced quantitative and qualitative data from a balanced proportion of the population and from industry and key consultees to the planning system. The issues identified are considered in the Main Issues Report (MIR) and where appropriate in the strategic environmental assessment of the MIR.



Appendix 1 – List of schools attending community event 1st September 2011

1. Calderside Academy
2. Carluke High School
3. Uddingston Grammar School
4. Strathaven Academy
5. Biggar High School
6. Cathkin High School
7. Calderglen High School
8. Holy Cross High School
9. Duncanrig High School
10. John Ogilvie High School
11. Hamilton Grammar
12. Lesmahagow High School
13. Trinity High School
14. Rutherglen High School
15. St Andrews and St Brides High School
16. Larkhall Academy
17. Lanark Grammar

Also staff from:

Planning and Building Standards
Housing and Technical Resources
Corporate Communications

Appendix 2 – Community groups attending consultation events

East Kilbride Event – Monday 5th September

- Strathaven Community Council
- Jackton and Thorntonhall Community Council
- Murray Community Council
- Westwood Community Council
- Sandford and Upper Avondale Community Council
- Lindsay, Auldhouse and Chapelton Community Council
- 3 planning officers

Also invited but unable to attend:

- East Mains Community Council
- St Leonards Community Council
- Calderwood Community Council

Lanark Event – Thursday 22nd September

- Royal Burgh of Lanark Community Council
- Pettinain Community Council
- Biggar Community Council
- Symington Community Council
- 3 planning officers

Also invited but unable to attend:

- Black Mount Community Council
- Carluke Community Council
- Carnwath community Council
- Coalburn Community Council
- Crawford Community Council
- Douglas Community Council
- Duneaton Community Council
- Leadhills Community Council
- Lesmahagow Community Council
- Libberton, Quothquan and Thankerton Community Council
- New Lanark Community Council
- Tarbrax Community Council

Hamilton Event – Thursday 29th September

- Bothwell Community Council
- Larkhall Community Council
- 4 planning officers

Also invited but unable to attend:

- Ashgill/Netherburn Community Council
- Burnside Community Council
- Cambuslang Community Council (came to public event instead)
- Hillhouse Community Council
- Rutherglen Community Council
- Stonehouse Community Council
- Uddingston Community Council

Appendix 3 – List of attendees at public evening meetings

Cambuslang and Rutherglen Area - Rutherglen Town Hall

- 3 members of Friends of Cambuslang Park
- 1 member Lightburn Tenants and Residents Association
- 1 member Cambuslang Community Council
- 7 individuals
- 4 planning officers

Hamilton Area - Corporate Dining Room Almada Street Hamilton

- 3 members Haughhead Action Group
- 2 members Friends of Morgan Glen
- 2 members Deaf Equality & Accessibility Forum (with a BSL/English Interpreter)
- 2 members of Lanarkshire Chamber of Commerce
- 1 planning consultant
- 1 member Hamilton Natural History Society
- 5 planning officers

Clydesdale Area - Council Chambers South Vennel Lanark

- 1 member Forth Initiative
- 1 member Biggar and District Civic Trust
- 1 member Law Community Trust
- 4 individuals
- 5 planning officers

East Kilbride Area - Murray Owen Centre East Kilbride

- 1 member East Kilbride Civic Society
- 1 planning consultant
- 1 individual
- 3 planning officers

Appendix 4 - Locations of stand points

Dates	1 st – 15 th August	15 th – 22 nd August	22 nd August – 2 nd Sept
Machine 1	Tesco Dalmarnock	Rutherglen Town Hall	Rutherglen Lifestyles
Machine 2	Morrisons Cambuslang	Morrisons Lindsayfield EK	Morrisons Lindsayfield EK
Machine 3	EK Library Olympia	EK Arts Centre	Q&A EK
Machine 4	Hamilton Town House	Hamilton Palace Sports Ground	Fairhill Lifestyles Hamilton
Machine 5	Sainsbury's Hamilton	Regent Way Hamilton	Regent Way Hamilton
Machine 6	CO-OP Biggar	Tesco Lanark	Harry Smith Complex Lanark
Machine 7	Tearooms Douglas	Q&A South Vennel Lanark	Q&A South Vennel Lanark
Machine 8	Strathaven Library	Montrose House Hamilton	Montrose House Hamilton

Appendix 5 – Stand point survey

Local Development Plan public consultation - October 2011

Methodology

The data from Standpoints, web and young people's event have been processed through SNAP software. At the close of the consultation period 1,231 responses were received. Any no replies to questions have been excluded in order not to dilute the findings of those who did have a view.

The questionnaire covered the following aspects of the local development plan:

- Greenspace
- Conservation areas
- Climate change
- Waste management
- Shopping
- Industrial Estates or business parks
- Housing
- Transport

Greenspace

601 respondents gave their views on this topic. Respondents were asked what type of greenspace they visit on a regular basis. The top three were:

- Public park (72%);
- Open/green space (53%); and
- Play areas (38%).

The table opposite shows the full results.

Base	100%
What type of greenspace do you visit on a regular basis?	
<i>Public park</i>	72%
<i>Open/green space</i>	53%
<i>Play area</i>	38%
<i>Private garden or private green areas around flats</i>	37%
<i>Green corridors and nature trails</i>	33%
<i>Pitches/sport facilities</i>	26%
<i>Semi natural space</i>	25%
<i>Other functional green space</i>	13%
<i>Greenspace is available but I don't use it</i>	4%
<i>No open/greenspace available to use</i>	3%

Respondents were asked what type of green space is closest to their home.

- Open/green space (38%)
- Public park (32%)
- Play areas (20%)

The table opposite shows the full results.

Base	100%
Which one of the following types of greenspace is closest...	
<i>Open/greenspace</i>	38%
<i>Public park</i>	32%
<i>Play areas</i>	20%
<i>Pitches/sports facilities</i>	13%
<i>Semi natural space</i>	10%
<i>Green corridors and nature trails</i>	9%
<i>No open/greenspace available to use</i>	5%
<i>Other functional greenspace</i>	3%

Conservation areas

498 respondents gave their views on this topic.

Respondents were asked if there were any areas which are currently designated as conservation area that they feel need to be reassessed to see if they should remain conservation areas.

- 24% of respondents said yes
- 40% said no, and
- 37% said don't know.

Base	100%
Are there any areas which are currently designated as con...	
Yes	24%
No	40%
Don't know	37%

Respondents who had said yes were asked to say where these conservation areas were.

Clydesdale Area
Biggar
Coulter
Lanark High Street
Carluke
Abbeygreen Lesmahagow
Carnwath.
Carstairs
New Lanark
Old science building, Braxfield Road
Cambuslang/Rutherglen Area
Cambuslang
Hamilton Area
Chatelherault
Silvertonhill Avenue at Chatelherault Crescent
Stonehouse village
Neilsland park
East Kilbride Area
Borrowdale.
Calderglen park
Dollan baths
Shields Road Langlands Moss Area
Jackton
Maxwellton avenue. Near the Calderwood inn
EK village
Torrance House East Kilbride
Strathaven
Glassford

Respondents were also asked if there were any areas that they thought the Council should consider for conservation area status.

- 21% said yes
- 33% said no, and

- 46% said don't know

For those respondents who said yes, they were asked to say where these particular areas were.

Clydesdale Area
Abington To Biggar Clyde Valley Corridor
Biggar
Biggar Primary School
Dunsyre, Weston, Dolphinton, Coulter, Symington, Lamington, Tarbrax
Overburns, Lamington
Session Fields Near The Public Park Biggar.
Carluke And Clyde Valley
Centre Of Abington, Crawfordjohn, Centre Of Carstairs, Dunsyre, Upper Pettinain, Roberton
Kirkfieldbank
Lamington, Kaimend, Kerswell, Auchengray
The Whole Of Lanark Town Centre In Conjunction With New Lanark World Heritage Site.
Hamilton Area
Covenanters Field Bothwell
Westcraigs, High Blantyre.
Chatelherault Country Park - Parklands/Woods
Nature Trail Woodland Area Of A Victorian Estate To The Rear Of Neilsland Primary School, Little Earnock, Hamilton
From Stonehouse Cross Up To Strathaven Road At The Corner Of Caledonian Avenue
Larkhall
Lockhart Street Stonehouse
Stonehouse
Cambuslang/Rutherglen Area
Woods At Newton Farm
East Kilbride Area
Calderglen Park
Calderglen, Langlands Moss
Fields In Gardenhall behind Trent Place
Forrest Trails East Kilbride
Greenbelt On EK Borders
Greenhills
Holm Street, Strathaven Next To John Hastie Park. Blairbeth Area Of Burnside.
Jackton Village And Land Around The Police College
The area surrounding the national museum of rural life
The surrounding area of the village, East Kilbride
Thorntonhall
John Hastie Museum, Strathaven Castle, Allison Green
Village Theatre And Hunter Museum
Windward Road
Wooded areas adjacent to Stroud Road, East Kilbride. Areas falling just outwith the confines of Calderglen Country Park.
Strathaven

Climate change

Respondents were asked to say how important or otherwise certain aspects were in reducing our impact on climate change. An average of 463 respondents gave their views.

Very important/ important	
90%	using public transport/walking/cycling
90%	renewable technologies
93%	energy efficiency within your home
89%	adapting to the changes in our climate for the future
87%	developing a 'green' economy

There isn't a great deal of difference in the rankings of importance when you combine very important/important ratings. If you look at only the 'very important' ratings the order of importance is as follows:

- Energy efficiency within your home (66%)
- Renewable technologies (58%)
- Adapting to the changes in our climate for the future (56%)
- Using public transport/walking/cycling (55%)
- Developing a 'green' economy (53%)

	Total				
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	2317	58%	32%	7%	3%
Using public transport-walking/cycling	466	55%	35%	8%	2%
Energy efficiency within your home	466	66%	27%	5%	2%
Developing a 'green' economy	465	53%	34%	9%	4%
Renewable technologies	460	58%	32%	7%	3%
Adapting to the changes in our climate for the future	460	56%	33%	7%	4%

The tables below show the breakdown by area of residence for each aspect.

	Total	Energy efficiency within your home			
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	417	66%	29%	4%	1%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	42	64%	33%	2%	-
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	20	80%	20%	-	-
<i>Cambslang</i>	30	67%	20%	13%	-
<i>Carlisle</i>	18	67%	22%	11%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	113	70%	27%	4%	-
<i>Hamilton</i>	49	59%	39%	2%	-
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	36	67%	31%	3%	-
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	24	63%	25%	4%	8%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	65%	17%	4%	13%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	67%	33%	-	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	38	55%	42%	3%	-

	Total	Using public transport/walking/cycling			
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	417	53%	37%	8%	2%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	42	64%	31%	5%	-
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	20	55%	40%	-	5%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	30	63%	20%	13%	3%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	28%	50%	22%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	113	60%	33%	6%	1%
<i>Hamilton</i>	50	40%	52%	8%	-
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	36	47%	39%	11%	3%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	23	52%	35%	9%	4%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	48%	39%	4%	9%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	46%	42%	13%	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	38	58%	39%	3%	-

	Total	Developing a 'green' economy			
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	416	53%	35%	9%	3%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	42	62%	26%	12%	-
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	20	50%	40%	5%	5%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	29	52%	28%	17%	3%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	39%	50%	11%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	113	59%	29%	8%	4%
<i>Hamilton</i>	49	49%	45%	4%	2%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	36	50%	33%	17%	-
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	24	46%	42%	8%	4%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	52%	30%	9%	9%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	50%	46%	4%	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	38	53%	39%	5%	3%

	Total	Renewable technologies			
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	416	59%	32%	6%	2%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	42	71%	24%	2%	2%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	20	60%	35%	-	5%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	30	67%	20%	7%	7%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	56%	22%	22%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	113	63%	32%	5%	-
<i>Hamilton</i>	49	55%	41%	4%	-
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	36	61%	31%	6%	3%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	23	39%	48%	9%	4%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	48%	35%	9%	9%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	63%	29%	8%	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	38	53%	39%	5%	3%

	Total	Adapting to the changes in our climate for the future			
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	416	57%	33%	6%	3%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	42	69%	26%	2%	2%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	20	55%	25%	-	20%
<i>Carbuslang</i>	30	63%	20%	10%	7%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	33%	44%	22%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	113	62%	31%	5%	2%
<i>Hamilton</i>	49	47%	49%	4%	-
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	36	50%	36%	11%	3%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	23	52%	35%	4%	9%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	57%	30%	9%	4%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	75%	25%	-	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	38	53%	39%	8%	-

Renewable energy

Respondents were then asked what types of renewable energy should be encouraged in South Lanarkshire. 458 respondents gave their views on this.

- Domestic micro renewables (solar panels or heat pumps etc). (75%)
- Single or small groups of wind turbines (47%) and large wind farms (46%).
- 5% of respondents said 'none'
- 8% said 'other' and the responses are shown below by area of residence.

Base	100%
What forms of renewable energy development should be encouraged?	
<i>Domestic micro renewables (solar panels or heat pumps etc)</i>	75%
<i>Single or small groups of wind turbines</i>	47%
<i>Large wind farms</i>	46%
<i>Other</i>	8%
<i>None</i>	5%

Each respondent gave suggestions as to what type of renewable energy could be used in each area

Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston
large scale solar energy farms
Larger scale solar, e.g. on factory roofs, school roofs etc.
Carluke
Improving existing building standards, which are a minimum standard, and create a SLC exemplar standard
East Kilbride
Hydrogen fuel
Nuclear
Solar lighting in streets

solar panels (PV Cells) on the roofs of council owned buildings and premises.
Hydro-electric power (HEP)
Hamilton
Reuse of waste materials - use of food waste to create energy
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Solar panel farms.
Larkhall/Stonehouse
Biomass boilers
Other area outside South Lanarkshire
Biomass boilers, heat pumps
Nuclear
Small scale hydro where it does not have a negative impact on fish and other aquatic life, or on the local area.
Rutherglen
Nuclear fusion plants
Solar panels
Strathaven
Hydro power
Water

Allotments

Respondents were asked if the Council should be helping communities by identifying areas for allotments and/or community gardens. 456 respondents gave their views.

- 91% of respondents said yes.
- 9% said no.

Base	100%
Should the Council be helping communities by identifying ...	
Yes	91%
No	9%

Respondents were then asked where they thought such allotments and/or community gardens should be located.

- 79% selected on vacant/derelict land
- 38% in existing parks or greenspace
- 34% in school grounds
- 22% in the countryside
- 7% other

Base	100%
Do you have any ideas where these allotments and/or commu...	
On vacant/derelict land	79%
In existing parks or greenspace	38%
In school grounds	34%
In the countryside	22%
Other	7%

Other:

Hamilton Area
Landscaped areas within industrial estates
Clydesdale Area
Enterprise Estates and Community Resources have identified a number of locations

including field leases near towns such as Carluke which could be developed by communities as community growing areas and allotments.
Work with local land owners who could have 'pockets' of ground that for one reason or another do not get used to full potential
Cambuslang/Rutherglen Area
Local Community Centres (grounds) and community based association grounds

Waste management

Respondents were asked how the Council can deal with the amount of waste material produced. 533 respondents gave their views.

- Combination of recycling/landfill (71%)
- Energy from waste (burning waste material – heat to energy) (59%)
- Landfill (11%)
- Other suggestions or alternatives (11%)

Base	100%
How can the Council deal with the amount of waste materia...	
<i>Combination of recycling/landfill</i>	71%
<i>Energy from waste (burning waste material - heat to energy)</i>	59%
<i>Other suggestions or alternatives</i>	11%
<i>Landfill</i>	11%

The table below shows the results by area of residence.

	Total	How can the Council deal with the amount of waste materia...			
		Landfill	Energy from waste (burning waste material - heat to energy)	Combination of recycling/landfill	Other suggestions or alternatives
Base	486	9%	60%	72%	11%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Bigger</i>	44	5%	57%	68%	11%
<i>Bartyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	24	-	63%	83%	-
<i>Cambuslang</i>	28	11%	43%	75%	11%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	11%	78%	61%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	136	13%	62%	72%	9%
<i>Hamilton</i>	73	8%	67%	73%	3%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	47	6%	55%	74%	19%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	35	14%	37%	83%	20%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	23	22%	74%	52%	13%
<i>Strathaven</i>	24	-	58%	71%	21%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	34	9%	68%	76%	18%

Respondents were then asked if they could suggest how the Council could deal with the amount of waste material produced. The responses are shown below by area of residence.

Biggar
Energy from waste and recycling where possible
Promote re-use of biomass waste for anaerobic digestion for combined heat and power production
Cambuslang
composting and use in council borders/baskets etc
Local guidelines about supermarket packaging
Reduce waste at source
East Kilride
Encourage more recycling and look for more ways. work with local businesses and supermarkets to reduce waste.
Improvement in the efficiency of recycling
Raising public awareness about waste reduction at source
Recycle
Recycle all waste stop using skips have a process in place to recycle / refurbish equipment that is surplus to requirements
Stop charging for uplifts as too many are dumping rubbish illegally
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Encouraging the public to reduce waste and establishing community-based or district based local anaerobic digestion plants.
Improve recycling in some areas
Look to examples from other countries who have been doing this successfully and use innovative ideas
Recycle and lobby Central Government to impose rules on retailers regarding the use of packaging materials.
Larkhall/Stonehouse
Awareness campaign to encourage local people to reduce waste
Other area outside South Lanarkshire
Educate the community on the importance of recycling
Promote local industry which uses recycled product, i.e. tile manufacture using recycled glass.
Recycling
Rutherglen
Robust recycling strategies/relentless encouragement of residents to recycle
Strathaven
Giving compost bins to households and allowing food recycling to become used for this.
More and better recycling plants
More local composting / recycling and initiatives to support this.

Respondents were then asked where it would be appropriate to locate waste facilities. 519 respondents gave their views.

- Former mineral sites (e.g. open cast coal sites) (66%)
- Industrial estates (53%)
- Other (11%)
- Countryside/greenbelt (6%)

Base	100%
Where would it be appropriate to locate waste facilities?	
<i>Former mineral sites (eg open cast coal sites)</i>	66%
<i>Industrial estates</i>	53%
<i>Other</i>	11%
<i>Countryside/green belt</i>	6%

The table below shows the results by area of residence.

	Total	Where would it be appropriate to locate waste facilities?			
		Industrial estates	Countryside/green belt	Former mineral sites (eg open cast coal sites)	Other
Base	478	53%	6%	67%	10%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
East Kilbride	134	52%	5%	66%	10%
Hamilton	70	49%	9%	69%	7%
Lanark / Lesmahagow	47	47%	6%	72%	17%
Biggar	44	59%	5%	66%	2%
Larkhall / Stonehouse	34	62%	3%	56%	12%
Other area outside South Lanarkshire	34	62%	3%	68%	12%
Cambuslang	28	46%	11%	64%	7%
Strathaven	24	63%	-	71%	4%
Rutherglen	23	35%	17%	74%	17%
Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston	22	68%	5%	64%	9%
Carluke	18	50%	-	72%	17%

Other locations:

Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston
Not greenbelt/countryside
Cambuslang
Local sites where residents can easily drop off waste for onward transport to appropriate disposal facilities.
Carluke
Close to large urban centres as most of the waste is created in these areas.
Remote locations away from housing and greenbelt areas.
East Kilbride
Joint sites with neighbouring local authorities
Not near my house
Set up centre in unused factory units through out the area
Shopping Centres
The Murray
Would depend on what area and availability of various things
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Industrial estates should be used for recycling/sorting stations. Recycling and burning to produce energy is a must to reduce landfill which should only be used as a last resort. Landfill sites should be located away from trunk roads and tourist routes in out of
Larkhall/Stonehouse
Not Stonehouse
Somewhere not within the vicinity of residential areas
Sites identified through appropriate surveying
Where facilities can supply local industry
Rutherglen
There is a need for more localised recycling bins in areas were only green bins are available.

Shopping

Respondents were asked which main shopping centre they use or visit most often. 636 respondents answered this question.

- East Kilbride (41%)
- Hamilton (23%)
- Glasgow (16%)

Base	100%
Which one of the following town centres do you normally u...	
East Kilbride	41%
Hamilton	23%
Glasgow	16%
Lanark	9%
Other	7%
Rutherglen	2%
Cambuslang	2%

- Most respondents resident in East Kilbride shop in East Kilbride. Hamilton residents shop in the main in Hamilton but nearly a quarter shop in East Kilbride
- Half of those resident in Lanark/Lesmahagow shop in Lanark but almost one third shop in Hamilton
- Almost half those resident in Biggar shop in Lanark but one third shop elsewhere.
- Those resident outside South Lanarkshire shop in Glasgow, East Kilbride, Hamilton and 'other'
- Half of those resident in Cambuslang shop in East Kilbride and around a quarter shop in Glasgow.
- Half of those resident in Larkhall/Stonehouse shop in Hamilton, and around a quarter in East Kilbride, and a quarter in Glasgow.
- A third of those resident in Rutherglen shop in East Kilbride, a further third shop in Rutherglen and a further third shop in Glasgow.
- A third of those resident in Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston shop in Glasgow and around a quarter shop in East Kilbride and just over a quarter shop in Hamilton.
- Over half of those resident in Strathaven shop in East Kilbride and a third in Hamilton.
- Almost half of those resident in Carluke shop in Hamilton and a fifth shop in Glasgow.

	Total	Which one of the following town centres do you normally u...						
		Hamilton	East Kilbride	Rutherglen	Cambuslang	Lanark	Glasgow	Other
Base	587	23%	41%	3%	2%	10%	16%	6%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?								
East Kilbride	160	2%	89%	1%	-	1%	8%	1%
Hamilton	97	62%	23%	-	-	-	14%	1%
Lanark / Lesmahagow	55	31%	5%	-	2%	49%	7%	5%
Biggar	50	8%	4%	-	-	46%	6%	36%
Other area outside South Lanarkshire	40	18%	25%	-	-	3%	38%	18%
Cambuslang	39	3%	49%	8%	15%	3%	23%	-
Larkhall / Stonehouse	35	51%	23%	-	3%	-	23%	-
Rutherglen	34	-	32%	32%	3%	-	29%	3%
Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston	31	29%	26%	-	3%	-	32%	10%
Strathaven	26	31%	58%	-	-	-	8%	4%
Carluke	20	45%	5%	-	-	15%	20%	15%

Respondents were then asked what they use their main shopping centre for 622 respondents answered this question.

The top three results were:

- Clothes/jewellery/gifts (68%)
- Food shopping (56%)
- Pubs/leisure/eating (38%)

The table shows the full results for all respondents and then by area of residence.

Base	100%
What do you use this town centre for? (Select all that ap...	
<i>Clothes/jewellery/gifts</i>	68%
<i>Food shopping</i>	56%
<i>Pubs/leisure/eating</i>	38%
<i>Electrical</i>	25%
<i>Travel agents</i>	18%
<i>Other</i>	14%
<i>Fitness</i>	13%
<i>Hair/nails/beauty treatments</i>	10%

	Total	What do you use this town centre for?(Select all that ap...							
		Food shopping	Clothes/jewellery/gifts	Electrical	Hair/nails/beauty treatments	Travel agents	Pubs/leisure/eating	Fitness	Other
Base	622	56%	70%	25%	10%	18%	38%	12%	14%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?									
Bigger	50	88%	47%	18%	2%	4%	23%	14%	18%
Barnyrie / Bathwell / Ubbington	31	48%	77%	23%	10%	23%	23%	8%	8%
Carbustarg	38	58%	61%	21%	3%	11%	34%	13%	21%
Carlisle	20	40%	68%	20%	-	10%	38%	5%	5%
East Kilbride	159	48%	78%	27%	4%	23%	40%	13%	13%
Hinlinton	97	59%	81%	33%	23%	23%	45%	14%	11%
Lanark / Lesmahagow	54	78%	59%	22%	19%	17%	33%	20%	8%
Larkhall / Stonehouse	34	50%	82%	26%	12%	18%	44%	9%	21%
Ruterglen	34	50%	68%	12%	9%	24%	23%	8%	19%
Strathaven	26	48%	58%	27%	-	8%	42%	-	38%
Other area outside South Lanarkshire	40	53%	73%	35%	19%	8%	48%	13%	8%

Other reasons for shopping included:

- Banking
- Leisure
- Library
- Work

Respondents were asked if they had any difficulties accessing the town centre shops and businesses they use. 626 respondents answered this question.

- 20% said yes they did have difficulties, and 80% said no, they didn't have difficulties.

Base	100%
Do you have any difficulties accessing the town centre sh...	
Yes	20%
No	80%

The table below shows the results by area of residence of respondents. Those respondents living in Cambuslang and Carluke had the highest percentage of respondents who said they had difficulty in accessing the town centre shops and business they use.

	Total	Do you have any difficulties accessing the town centre sh...	
		Yes	No
Base	587	19%	81%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?			
<i>Biggar</i>	50	16%	84%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	31	19%	81%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	39	28%	72%
<i>Carluke</i>	20	25%	75%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	160	20%	80%
<i>Hamilton</i>	97	21%	79%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	55	20%	80%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	35	14%	86%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	34	18%	82%
<i>Strathaven</i>	26	19%	81%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	40	8%	93%

Respondents were asked if they thought there were enough supermarkets in South Lanarkshire. 622 respondents gave their views.

- 72% said yes, they thought there were enough supermarkets in South Lanarkshire.
- 28% said no.

Base	100%
Do you think there are enough supermarkets in South Lanar...	
Yes	72%
No	28%

The table below shows the results by area.

	Total	Do you think there are enough supermarkets in South Lanar...	
		Yes	No
Base	584	74%	26%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?			
<i>Biggar</i>	50	70%	30%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	31	77%	23%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	39	79%	21%
<i>Carluke</i>	20	70%	30%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	160	53%	48%
<i>Hamilton</i>	96	92%	8%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	54	81%	19%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	35	66%	34%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	34	85%	15%
<i>Strathaven</i>	26	96%	4%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	39	85%	15%

Respondents from East Kilbride had the highest % who said there weren't enough supermarkets in South Lanarkshire (48%).

For those 143 respondents who said they felt there weren't enough supermarkets in South Lanarkshire, they were asked where a new facility should be located.

- Biggar
- Abington
- Lanark
- Between Blantyre and Cambuslang
- Glasgow Rd Blantyre
- Cambuslang
- East Kilbride
- Bothwell
- Halfway
- Carluke
- Law
- Hairmyers
- Greenhills
- College Milton
- Lindsayfield
- Peel Park
- Westwood
- The Murray
- Eddlewood
- Hillhouse
- High Blantyre
- Larkhall
- Stonehouse
- Carstairs junction
- Lesmahagow
- Rigside
- Westburn

Respondents were asked how long it normally took them to walk to their nearest local shop. 619 respondents replied to this question.

- For just under two fifths of respondents (37%) it was less than 5 minutes
- For just over one third (35%) it was between 5 and 10 minutes
- For around one tenth (12%) it was between 10 and 15 minutes
- For 6% it was between 15 and 20 minutes
- And for around one tenth (9%) it was more than 20 minutes.

Base	100%
How long would it normally take you to walk to your nearest local shop?	
<i>Less than 5 minutes</i>	37%
<i>Between 5 and 10 minutes</i>	35%
<i>Between 10 and 15 minutes</i>	12%
<i>Between 15 and 20 minutes</i>	6%
<i>More than 20 minutes</i>	9%

The table below shows the results by area. Probably not surprisingly those in rural areas had further to go. (Biggar 28% and Strathaven 23% of respondents said it took more than 20 minutes to walk to their nearest local shop).

	Total	How long would it normally take you to walk to your nearest...				
		Less than 5 minutes	Between 5 and 10 minutes	Between 10 and 15 minutes	Between 15 and 20 minutes	More than 20 minutes
Base	587	37%	36%	12%	6%	9%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?						
<i>Biggar</i>	50	26%	28%	10%	8%	28%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	31	45%	45%	6%	3%	-
<i>Cambuslang</i>	39	23%	38%	23%	3%	13%
<i>Carluke</i>	20	25%	40%	15%	10%	10%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	160	38%	33%	14%	11%	4%
<i>Hamilton</i>	97	40%	48%	3%	3%	5%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	55	38%	24%	16%	9%	13%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	35	29%	40%	23%	3%	6%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	34	50%	24%	18%	3%	6%
<i>Strathaven</i>	26	35%	31%	4%	8%	23%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	40	48%	40%	8%	-	5%

Respondents were asked how frequently they use their nearest local shop. 613 respondents answered this question.

- For around one fifth (22%) they used their nearest local shop daily
- For around two fifths (41%) they used it a few times a week
- For under one fifth (16%) they used it once a week
- 5% used it at least once a fortnight, and 5% at least once a month
- One tenth (10%) said they rarely use it, and 2% said they never use it.

Base	100%
How frequently do you use your nearest local shop?	
<i>Daily</i>	22%
<i>A few times a week</i>	41%
<i>Once a week</i>	16%
<i>At least once a fortnight</i>	5%
<i>At least once a month</i>	5%
<i>Rarely</i>	10%
<i>Never</i>	2%

The table below shows the results by area.

	Total	How frequently do you use your nearest local shop?						
		Daily	A few times a week	Once a week	At least once a fortnight	At least once a month	Rarely	Never
Base	581	21%	41%	16%	5%	5%	10%	2%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?								
Biggar	50	24%	44%	14%	4%	-	10%	4%
Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston	29	7%	55%	14%	7%	10%	7%	-
Cambuslang	39	26%	31%	15%	5%	8%	13%	3%
Carluke	20	35%	45%	-	5%	5%	10%	-
East Kilbride	158	21%	41%	17%	5%	4%	9%	2%
Hamilton	96	18%	36%	21%	5%	6%	13%	1%
Lanark / Lesmahagow	55	25%	38%	15%	2%	4%	13%	4%
Larkhall / Stonehouse	35	17%	31%	23%	3%	6%	14%	6%
Rutherglen	33	33%	45%	9%	6%	3%	3%	-
Strathaven	26	15%	62%	15%	-	-	8%	-
Other area outside South Lanarkshire	40	18%	38%	13%	13%	10%	8%	3%

For those respondents who do not use, or only rarely use, their nearest local shop they were asked why this was. For many of these respondents it was due to lack of choice and finding it more expensive to shop locally.

Biggar
Nearest shop is nearly 10 miles away
Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston
Weekly shop at a supermarket and don't need to shop locally
Too small lack of product choice too expensive
Cambuslang
Does not offer everything I need, easier to visit a larger shop by car or public transport.
Better selection and better prices in supermarkets and ability to do a bigger shop saves time and repeat journeys
Carluke
It's not really in walking distance and it's too expensive. Would rather go a bit further in the car to one of the bigger supermarkets where prices are cheaper.
lack of choice / products
East Kilbride
Because the prices in small shops is much higher than the supermarket
Cheaper at local garage
supermarket within ten minutes walking distance
It is not safe and may not have what I need, and is very expensive
Supermarket is closer
Hamilton
Choice of goods & price usually higher than supermarkets
Distance from the house walking takes as much time as driving to a supermarket and prices are dearer as it is a corner shop and has less choice
Easier to use shops around workplace, also pricing, local shops tend to be more expensive
I mainly use supermarkets to get everyday essentials and also big food shop
It does not stock everything I need and it is more expensive than the main supermarkets
It is more expensive than supermarket or bigger shops
Only small local convenience store. Closes early evening limited choice and expensive
Too expensive

Very small doesn't stock many items and is too expensive
Very small, not a lot of choice
Lanark / Lesmahagow
Far too expensive compared to other local shops a bit further away
I usually buy everything at the supermarket
It is only a small paper shop and stock only very basic supplies
does not have the choice which I get from larger stores
its too expensive
Lack of choice, limit of fresh produce, more expensive
prefer to shop at larger supermarkets
they do not have the choice of larger supermarkets and are frequently more expensive
Very expensive

Respondents were then asked if they had any difficulties accessing the local shops and business they use.

- 13% said they did have difficulties
- 87% didn't have difficulties

The table below shows the results by area. Those in Larkhall/Stonehouse had the highest percentage (6%) who never use their nearest local shop.

	Total	How frequently do you use your nearest local shop?						
		Daily	A few times a week	Once a week	At least once a fortnight	At least once a month	Rarely	Never
Base	581	21%	41%	16%	5%	5%	10%	2%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?								
<i>Biggar</i>	50	24%	44%	14%	4%	-	10%	4%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	29	7%	55%	14%	7%	10%	7%	-
<i>Cambuslang</i>	39	26%	31%	15%	5%	8%	13%	3%
<i>Carlisle</i>	20	35%	45%	-	5%	5%	10%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	158	21%	41%	17%	5%	4%	9%	2%
<i>Hamilton</i>	96	18%	36%	21%	5%	6%	13%	1%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	55	25%	38%	15%	2%	4%	13%	4%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	35	17%	31%	23%	3%	6%	14%	6%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	33	33%	45%	9%	6%	3%	3%	-
<i>Strathaven</i>	26	15%	62%	15%	-	-	8%	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	40	18%	38%	13%	13%	10%	8%	3%

Industrial estates/business parks

Respondents were advised that currently industrial estates and business parks are restricted to industrial/business use only. They were then asked if any other uses of industrial estates/business parks should be allowed. 309 respondents gave their views.

- Just over half of all respondents (54%) said no – these areas should be kept for industry and business
- Just under half (46%) said other uses should be allowed.

Base	100%
Currently industrial estates and business parks are restr...	
<i>No - these areas should be kept for industry and business</i>	54%
<i>Yes - other uses should be allowed</i>	46%

For those respondents who thought other uses of industrial estates/business parks should be allowed they made the following comments/suggestions. Many respondents mentioned retail and leisure.

Biggar
Appropriate mixed uses should be considered to improve the function of the area. This could include any use which is shown to be compatible with the others existing users in the area, and the other policies of the Local Development Plan.
Community business and training
Housing
Retail and leisure
Retail outlets and waste disposal
Storage
Waste
Wind farm
Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston
Nurseries, Shops
Park and ride locations
Put shops in estates to limit building on greenspace
Childcare.
Some restricted form of retail should be allowed
Cambuslang
Business park
Play areas (indoor softplay for example), recreation facilities (climbing centres, go-karting type activities community facilities - community centres for organisations to book retail outlets
Retail
Carluke
Depending on the industrial use, retail and even indoor play areas could be placed there. With heavy industry or with high turn over of vehicles it would be difficult to do this.
Housing
Retail and leisure outlets
East Kilbride
Anything
Family Fun Sports
Fireworks, Shows, Skateparks, Bmx Track, Scooter Park, Trampoline
Housing and Health Centre, Sports Centres, Shopping Centres / Parks
Learner drivers
Low cost housing / a bank where you can park instead of having to walk through the town centre carrying money/ allotments
Nursery
Play areas discount shops/outlets education youth schemes
Rather than let these areas remain vacated and allow new build units elsewhere, the council should encourage redevelopment of the existing vacant units before allowing new

builds. These units could be used as factory outlet shops, sports clubs eg, boxing gyms, karate etc could be encourage to rent these spaces. As opposed to building on the ever depleting green field sites we could explore re-designating some areas of these sites for housing.
Retail outlets should be allowed to enter into business parks/industrial estates. Catering outlets Fitness facilities
Small scale retail. Food outlets including bar/restaurants.
Supermarkets
They could build some block of flats for people who work in the retail parks to live in
Vacant units should be considered for other uses on a case by case basis (except for retail as there are enough retail parks!)Such as leisure facilities or for educational purposes etc
Hamilton
Greater use of other retail outlets
If it is safe then something the community can use or more warehouses for shops
Indoor facilities for children/teens
Leisure facilities or retail to serve businesses around the business parks.
Retail outlets sports facilities
Retail, housing
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Cinemas, shops, leisure
Housing, parking, retail
Industrial Estates/Business Parks tend to be sited in peripheral locations Any use which minimises the need for travel by workers based at these estates e.g. crèches/cafes/restaurants ought to be encouraged.
Leisure and entertainment. Shopping outlet stores.
Other areas have entertainment
Play parks - lack of them in the town that are able to be used by the children cycle park where children can learn to cycle without being on the roads
Retail Outlets And Leisure E.g. Ten Pin Bowling Bingo Etc
Retail outlets, housing, renewable energy generation where possible.
Retail outlets, Leisure facilities and even visitor attractions should all be allowed. Encouraging growth and entrepreneurship in these areas should be a priority. Examples would include children's indoor play areas; factory shops and factory based visitor attractions should start with the principle of allow and then assess the individual proposal for suitability including parking and access restrictions. Housing should not be allowed as apart from the build phase this does not add to economic growth in these areas.
Larkhall/Stonehouse
All businesses should be considered but transport links should be considered to prevent congestion
Council run sports facilities
Fitness Activities, retail outlets
More sport / activity centres
Recycling and wind farms
Retail outlets and leisure
Retail outlets, industrial retail/ repair
Waste disposal for industrial estates, as it is now a commercial activity.
Other area outside South Lanarkshire
Areas should generally be mixed use allow a number of different uses within a single location. This will help reduce the need to travel and as such should be more sustainable.
Housing
Leisure uses where appropriate

Mixing Industrial Commercial and Retail will work if proper Planning ensures safety and traffic management systems in order to allow workers to cross fertilise and spread word on what is available locally.
Retail, green end uses
This will depend on the location of the estate/business park, and each would require to be considered individually to determine whether it would lend itself to mixed use.
Uses that will attract employment, e.g. retail outlets, use as childcare, indoor play areas etc
Rutherglen
Retail
Strathaven
Housing, play areas
If space is required by people / companies then all options should be open to discussion
Leisure, children nursery, office
Outlets

Respondents were then asked if there were any particular industrial estates/business parks where other uses should be allowed.

The responses received are shown below.

Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston
Clyde Gateway
Hamilton
Hamilton Tech park
Cambuslang
Any close to residential areas
East Kilbride
All should be reviewed so we are using existing spaces well before developing on greenfield sites.
Peel Park /College Milton industrial estate - loads of vacant units which could be demolished and the land put to better use.
The provision of industrial estates/ business parks is presumably to encourage investment in the given localities. Opening to widely differing use may discourage prospective investors and employers
There may be a case for restaurants (not public houses)in these areas
Hamilton
Hamilton International, Hillhouse Industrial Estate, Cadzow Industrial Esatate
High Blantyre Ind Est
Industry doesn't need to be in competition for properties with the large retailers.
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Something in all areas as the ones listed are too far away from Clydesdale people especially if you do not have access to a car and public transport not so good
Unsure, possibly Lesmahagow as next to motorway. Areas of East Kilbride already have mixed uses.
Strathaven
Agricultural centres

Respondents were asked if small settlements/villages should have an identified area for industrial/business use. 300 respondents gave their views.

- Just over two fifths (43%) said yes
- Just under one third (30%) said no

Base	100%
Should small settlements/villages have an identified area...	
Yes	43%
No	30%
Don't know	27%

- Just over one quarter (27%) said don't know.

The table below shows the results by area.

	Total	Should small settlements/villages have an identified area...		
		Yes	No	Don't know
Base	286	43%	31%	26%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?				
<i>Biggar</i>	32	41%	34%	25%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	14	36%	14%	50%
<i>Carbuslang</i>	18	56%	22%	22%
<i>Carluke</i>	9	67%	22%	11%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	77	29%	43%	29%
<i>Hamilton</i>	38	29%	39%	32%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	32	44%	34%	22%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	15	73%	-	27%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	15	47%	27%	27%
<i>Strathaven</i>	15	67%	13%	20%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	21	62%	24%	14%

Housing

Respondents were asked if sites were required for more Housing land in South Lanarkshire, where should these be. 513 respondents gave their views.

(Respondents could select more than one option).

- 59% said within existing towns or villages
- 45% said on the edges/outskirts of existing towns or villages
- 22% said on land between towns or villages
- 22% said create completely new towns or villages

Base	100%
If sites were required for more housing land in South Lan...	
<i>Within existing towns or villages</i>	59%
<i>On the edges/outskirts of existing towns or villages</i>	45%
<i>On land between towns or villages</i>	22%
<i>Create completely new towns or villages</i>	22%

The table below shows the results by area.

	Total	If sites were required for more housing land in South Lan...			
		Within existing towns or villages	On the edges/outskirts of existing towns or villages	On land between towns or villages	Create completely new towns or villages
Base	496	59%	46%	22%	21%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	41	49%	46%	15%	20%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	30	60%	43%	33%	30%
<i>Carbuslang</i>	31	61%	42%	39%	29%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	44%	56%	22%	17%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	141	57%	40%	17%	21%
<i>Hamilton</i>	69	54%	46%	23%	23%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	49	61%	59%	24%	18%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	34	74%	56%	26%	12%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	25	64%	44%	28%	20%
<i>Strathaven</i>	22	77%	36%	5%	18%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	36	69%	42%	22%	22%

Respondents were asked when considering the location of affordable housing and/or housing for people with particular needs (for example housing for someone using a wheelchair), where would be the most appropriate location for such housing. 509 respondents gave their views.

- 70% of respondents said mixed in with all other types of housing being planned.
- 17% said they had no preference.
- 13% said restricted to development for this type of housing only.

Base	100%
When considering the location of affordable housing and/o...	
<i>Mixed in with all other types of housing being planned</i>	70%
<i>Restricted to developments for this type of housing only</i>	13%
<i>No preference</i>	17%

The table below shows the results by area.

Respondents from Cambuslang and Lanark/Lesmahagow had the highest percentages who said restricted to developments for this type of housing only. Respondents from Strathaven and Hamilton had the highest percentages who said they had no preference. Respondents from Rutherglen and Carluke had the highest percentages who said mixed in with all other types of housing being planned.

	Total	When considering the location of affordable housing and/o...		
		Mixed in with all other types of housing being planned	Restricted to developments for this type of housing only	No preference
Base	494	70%	13%	17%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?				
<i>Biggar</i>	41	73%	15%	12%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	31	65%	16%	19%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	31	48%	32%	19%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	78%	11%	11%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	143	75%	11%	14%
<i>Hamilton</i>	69	71%	7%	22%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	46	54%	26%	20%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	33	73%	6%	21%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	25	80%	12%	8%
<i>Strathaven</i>	21	71%	-	29%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	36	75%	14%	11%

Respondents were asked if they thought the Council should allow more housing in the Greenbelt and Countryside. 509 respondents gave their view.

- 28% said yes in the Countryside and Greenbelt
- 11% said yes but in the Greenbelt only
- 12% said yes but in the Countryside only
- 49% said no in neither of these

Base	100%
Do you think the Council should allow more housing in the...	
<i>Yes - in both the Countryside and Greenbelt</i>	28%
<i>Yes - but in the Greenbelt only</i>	11%
<i>Yes - but in the Countryside only</i>	12%
<i>No in neither of these</i>	49%

The table below shows the results by area.

Respondents from Carluke and Cambuslang had the highest percentages who said yes in both the countryside and greenbelt. Respondents from Rutherglen had the highest percentage who said yes but in the greenbelt only. Respondents from Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston had the highest percentage who said yes but in the countryside only. Respondents from Strathaven had the highest percentage who said no in neither of these.

	Total	Do you think the Council should allow more housing in the...			
		Yes - in both the Countryside and Greenbelt	Yes - but in the Greenbelt only	Yes - but in the Countryside only	No in neither of these
Base	495	28%	11%	12%	49%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?					
<i>Biggar</i>	41	27%	15%	7%	51%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	30	27%	13%	17%	43%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	31	32%	13%	16%	39%
<i>Carluke</i>	18	44%	6%	11%	39%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	142	28%	8%	11%	53%
<i>Hamilton</i>	68	29%	6%	12%	53%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	49	31%	16%	16%	37%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	33	21%	15%	9%	55%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	25	24%	28%	4%	44%
<i>Strathaven</i>	22	18%	9%	9%	64%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	36	28%	8%	11%	53%

Respondents were then asked if there are specific types of development that should be allowed in the Greenbelt or Countryside. 258 respondents gave their views.

- 41% said yes there are specific types of development that should be allowed in the Greenbelt or Countryside
- 59% said no.

Base	100%
Are there specific types of development that should be al...	
Yes	41%
No	59%

The table below shows the results by area. Respondents from Biggar, and Strathaven had by far the highest percentages who said yes.

	Total	Are there specific types of development that should be al...	
		Yes	No
Base	250	41%	59%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?			
<i>Biggar</i>	20	70%	30%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	17	41%	59%
<i>Cambuslang</i>	19	32%	68%
<i>Carluke</i>	11	36%	64%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	66	36%	64%
<i>Hamilton</i>	32	25%	75%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	31	42%	58%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	15	27%	73%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	14	64%	36%
<i>Strathaven</i>	8	75%	25%
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	17	47%	53%

For those respondents who said yes, they were asked what types of developments should be allowed. The responses are shown below.

Biggar
Affordable housing or local people and local families
Any
Development that create jobs
Housing
Leisure, renewable energy
Outdoor cafes
Residential and light industry.
Restricted
Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston
Affordable family homes - 2, 3 & 4 bedroom houses
Fitting in with the local community
Housing. Schools. Agricultural.
Recreational facilities, parks, farms for visiting etc
Rental Houses
Sheltered / amenity housing
Cambuslang
Housing
Carluke
Housing Development
East Kilbride
Developments that are designed to minimize impact upon the environment, reduce stress to the council housing scheme and thus allow first time buyers, young families, etc the chance of affordable, quality housing.
For people with particular needs and affordable rented accommodation for single people and families
High rise flats and tower blocks
More energy efficient and built with recycled materials and environmentally friendly
Hamilton
Affordable housing and sheltered housing
Energy efficient
Family homes
Where they can be self sufficient regarding energy needs etc
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Any use which does not threaten the appearance or integrity of the greenbelt/countryside
Detached Single Dwellings.
Houses, small local shops
Housing only
More houses instead of flats
Ones which support existing agricultural farms in terms of farm sheds/turbines etc. Leisure and tourist attractions.
Renewables and energy, Farming, Leisure etc
Small groups of housing, no flats and no more than 20 houses. Must blend in with location and must be to a high standard
Tourism and development which supports farms
Council houses, affordable new housing estates for the next generation to be able to get a house
Housing and leisure only
Rutherglen
Another swimming pool for people not in swimming lessons

Care home
Small cottages or parks or nature reserves
Small developments. Adult or older community accommodation.
Strathaven
More individual plots, should be easier for farmers to sell some land to individuals. Housing build by property developers are poor in standard and too expensive.
Pensioner housing

Transport

Respondents were advised that the Local Transport Strategy will help achieve a number of transport objectives, and were asked to say how important each of the objectives. All objectives were rated as very important or important with an average of only 6% of respondents rating any of them not important. The table below shows the results.

Very important	Objective
75%	Improving the condition of existing roads and footways
63%	Improving public transport
58%	Improving road safety
50%	Supporting economic growth
45%	Encouraging walking and cycling
45%	Reducing the environmental effects of transport

The table below shows the full results.

	Total				
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	2947	56%	35%	6%	3%
Improving the condition of existing roads and footways	495	75%	22%	2%	2%
Improving road safety	492	58%	33%	5%	3%
Improving public transport	494	63%	29%	6%	2%
Encouraging walking and cycling	488	45%	43%	8%	4%
Reducing the environmental effects of transport	489	45%	43%	8%	5%
Supporting economic growth	489	50%	38%	8%	5%

Respondents were then asked to say how important certain issues are within their local environment. Again, an average of only 6% rated none of these issues as important.

Very important	Issue
69%	Human health
53%	Open and green areas
43%	Local biodiversity (wildlife and plants)
40%	Climate change
33%	Cultural and built environment

	Total				
		Very important	Important	Not important	No opinion
Base	4530	48%	38%	8%	6%
Human Health	915	69%	22%	4%	5%
Cultural and built environment	907	33%	50%	10%	7%
Open and green areas	915	53%	35%	7%	5%
Climate change	894	40%	42%	11%	7%
Local biodiversity (wildlife and plants)	899	43%	42%	9%	7%

Profile of respondents

Area of residence

Base 920 respondents		
Area	Number	%
Biggar	69	8%
Blantyre/Bothwell/Uddingston	54	6%
Cambuslang	57	6%
Carluke	38	4%
East Kilbride	226	25%
Hamilton	138	15%
Lanark/Lesmahagow	84	9%
Larkhall/Stonehouse	71	8%
Rutherglen	54	6%
Strathaven	47	5%
Other area outside South Lanarkshire	82	9%

Gender

Base 846 respondents		
Gender	Number	%
Male	321	38%
Female	525	62%

Age group

Base 920 respondents		
Age group	Number	%
Under 18 years	118	13%
18 – 24 years	61	7%
25 – 34 years	114	12%
35 – 44 years	223	24%
45 – 54 years	225	24%
55 – 64 years	129	14%
65 years or older	50	5%

Ethnic background

Base 869 respondents		
Age group	Number	%
White	806	93%
Black	17	2%
Asian	15	2%
Mixed	15	2%
Other	16	2%

Disability

Base 863 respondents		
Disability	Number	%
Yes	95	11%
No	768	89%

Appendix 1

Type of greenspace visited on a regular basis.

	Total	What type of greenspace do you visit on a regular basis?									
		Private garden o- r private green...	Open/green space	Play area	Pitches/sport facilities	Public park	Semi natural space	Green corridors and nature trails	Other functional green space	No open/greens- pace available ...	Greenspace is a- vailable but I d...
Base	470	40%	59%	39%	28%	75%	27%	35%	15%	3%	2%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?											
<i>Biggar</i>	47	40%	64%	43%	19%	72%	40%	43%	26%	2%	2%
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	17	24%	65%	53%	18%	82%	6%	41%	12%	-	6%
<i>Cambslang</i>	32	38%	44%	41%	13%	72%	16%	22%	16%	3%	3%
<i>Carluke</i>	16	44%	38%	50%	31%	81%	19%	38%	6%	6%	6%
<i>East Kilbride</i>	140	46%	58%	42%	26%	73%	27%	32%	10%	3%	1%
<i>Hamilton</i>	63	43%	54%	37%	38%	73%	21%	35%	10%	2%	3%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	43	37%	63%	49%	37%	65%	26%	42%	19%	7%	-
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	30	37%	73%	13%	37%	77%	30%	33%	23%	3%	3%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	25	28%	40%	28%	28%	80%	24%	20%	4%	-	-
<i>Strathaven</i>	22	50%	73%	50%	14%	91%	32%	32%	23%	-	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	35	29%	69%	23%	31%	83%	40%	51%	23%	-	3%

Appendix 1 (continued)

Type of greenspace nearest to home.

	Total	Which one of the following types of greenspace is closest...							
		Open/greenspace	Play areas	Pitches/sports facilities	Public park	Semi natural space	Green corridors and nature trails	Other functional greenspace	No open/greenspace available to use
Base	469	41%	20%	15%	35%	10%	10%	3%	3%
In which area do you live, or live nearest to?									
<i>Biggar</i>	47	36%	11%	4%	28%	13%	13%	4%	-
<i>Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston</i>	18	56%	28%	17%	61%	17%	22%	-	-
<i>Cambuslang</i>	32	16%	13%	3%	53%	6%	9%	3%	9%
<i>Carluke</i>	16	50%	31%	19%	31%	19%	6%	19%	-
<i>East Kilbride</i>	140	46%	24%	16%	24%	11%	4%	1%	4%
<i>Hamilton</i>	63	33%	19%	25%	32%	3%	13%	2%	5%
<i>Lanark / Lesmahagow</i>	42	40%	33%	12%	33%	7%	17%	5%	5%
<i>Larkhall / Stonehouse</i>	30	50%	20%	20%	37%	20%	10%	3%	3%
<i>Rutherglen</i>	25	20%	12%	20%	48%	-	-	4%	4%
<i>Strathaven</i>	22	50%	5%	5%	41%	9%	-	-	-
<i>Other area outside South Lanarkshire</i>	34	56%	21%	21%	47%	18%	21%	9%	-

Appendix 2

Appendix 1 – comments re Greenspace

Biggar
Concerned about cars going through the Burnbraes with the new housing development
Council are poor at upkeep. overuse of weedkiller and strimmer
Fed up with planning consent being given to build and quarry on greenspace sites
SLC do not take ownership of nuisance issues arising from inappropriate use of the facilities/spaces by others/youth
There should be more investment by the Council in greenspace provision
They are fun. Public awareness of where to access natural greenspace is fairly low
Blantyre / Bothwell / Uddingston
Disappearing to quickly for housing etc.
Grass areas and road side areas have been very poorly maintained this year.
Greenspace is a valuable asset to a local community and should be protected from encroachment of developments.
I don't care about greenspace
I would like to see owners, tenants, local councils and local small and large businesses taking some responsibility and interest in our local wildlife. I feel that we have all taken up so much land with housing, shops, schools etc so this has reduced the available habitat for our local and national wildlife. The more we build, the less land our wildlife have to survive. I would like to see some sort of wildlife conservation areas set up to ensure the long term survival of our wildlife and feel this would also encourage locals to appreciate how difficult it is for our wildlife to
Pretty well kept on the whole.
The Green-Space Footpath between the top end of Craig Street and the top end of Victoria Street in Blantyre needs some street lighting installed for safety reasons. .
The parks are full of glass and needles, unpoliced and have gangs drinking
Cambuslang
Cambuslang park much better.
Greenspaces are essential for playing , exercising and even commuting. It essential however that you feel safe when using green open spaces and I feel that this is particularly important when using parks and green pathways. Leaving wide open spaces between paths and shrubs and trees or ensuring that any greenery is not of such a size or condition that could provide cover for unwanted individuals would increase people's confidence in using these areas.
It is a concern that the maintenance of green spaces is being affected by the current economic climate. Neither public bodies nor private companies want to spend the required money to maintain these spaces properly.
It is full of empty alcohol containers
Leave it alone
Untidy, not well kept,
Carluke
Greenspace at the moment is protected and well used, I would like it to remain so and not be eroded.
Lack of easy access / nature trails even though Carluke is surrounded by countryside.
Poor greenspace provision and continued erosion of settlement boundaries
The park is ruined
There is a green space close to where I live, but due to ages of children and lack of suitable facilities it is not used, in fact it is not well kept with broken glass and animal faeces left on grass. We do use the local play park, this is not green, but I suppose could be considered such. We do travel to local open areas; improved public transport could help with this. Upkeep of local areas could be a lot better, it is ok to cut the grass, but

removal of waste would improve confidence of families to use it.
East Kilbride
Continue to sell off useful green areas
Could do with being better maintained. Not just cut but finished properly. For example, where the edge of grass meets the edge of a path, the grass is allowed to go over the path. Where I live, about the half width of a paving stone is lost to untrimmed edged grass.
Development should not be permitted in any form within these areas.
Dog Fouling is a problem
Good facilities
Greenspace in and around East Kilbride, though still quite abundant, is increasingly being encroached upon by developments. What remains needs consistent and considerable maintenance to allow families and individuals to have the confidence to feel they are able to use it safely?
Highly valued but suffering from lack of investment.
I feel that the Greenspace is vastly reducing due to commercial and housing developments
Insufficient for local children
It gets sold for housing
Keep it!
Leave it alone but also it needs cleaned of litter and tipping
Maintained by a private company that charges the residents. It should be maintained by the Council
More control over the problem of littering
More playgrounds
Need to be kept tidier
No up keep, dog mess litter over grown paths.
Not enough green space left in EK
Not maintained properly
Play areas should not be closed down as a saving exercise. The play areas should be maintained and kept in a safe condition to allow somewhere for children to play.
Play parks for children need to be better maintained
Poor
The path round the water in James Hamilton park is very narrow.
The rate of its disappearance is alarming. There needs to be more of considered effort to conserve natural woodland and open greenspace to provide leisure space for young families and the elderly.
The trees cut down look out of place and stupid.
There are fewer and fewer green spaces
There is hardly any green space available and there is too much stuff for boys to do, i.e football facilities though not enough for kids which causes kids to be out and causes chaos on the streets
There is none
There is very little left in East Kilbride so please leave it alone!
Too many dogs allowed to foul any open spaces kids could use to play no action taken against owners
Too many houses in area and still building
Too small. Not well lit.
Very poorly looked after in Lindsayfield
Why is Kitch Field not listed as a greenspace in register of greenspaces?
Hamilton
Feel that there is just not enough local play areas in areas
Lets have more.

My concern is the upkeep of these areas. Perhaps it would be a good idea to involve the community to assist in clean up campaigns. There are also safety concerns if someone walking on their own
Not really enough
Play areas are a disgrace, with broken glass lying all over the place. High Blantyre Park is especially bad for it
Public Park is a disgrace, has been left to dilapidate over the years
Seems to be lots of greenspace disappearing now for housing developments
The greenspace areas are often frequented by dog walkers who allow their pets to foul the area. In many cases they do not clean up afterwards.
Too many youths unsupervised who waste it for people wanting to enjoy the space. Also vandalism and littering, graffiti etc, feel threatened
Its a good place to chill with the social crew
Its good
Lanark/Lesmahagow
Clean up broken glass
Covered in dog dirt
Formal well maintained Public Parks and Play areas are very important. Formal open space and parks provide the backbone of a sustainable urban environment. The quality of the environment and space for children to play is also very important, Formal play areas are key to providing loci for children and parents to interact. Over the last few years the Councils value attributed to formal spaces appears to have decreased.
Important to retain for future generations. Greenspace continues to have a high amenity value. We should resist attempts to reduce/develop on current greenspace provision.
Lots of green open areas break up mass housing and create pedestrian walk links. Safe to walk at night as overlooked by houses and not hidden by timber fencing.
Need better signing and care
Needs cleaned more often
Not good
Play areas are cool
Play park is in need of upgrade
Seriously neglected, no play facilities for children
Should have more open space to walk through, new housing estates the worst for no space and are hard to navigate way through
The older housing estate has open green space with houses fronting on to it on all sides and has a safe feeling to walk the dog at night. Children also play in these areas and is safe and close to their homes. There are smaller pockets of green space which enable easy navigation and access on foot through the housing area. The new large housing estate has very little open space and is difficult to navigate and feels oppressive due to the lack of green.
The wonderful Clyde Walkway at New Lanark is often neglected between New Lanark and Castlebank Park, which is surprising as it is a very popular walk with elderly rambling groups. Improvements should include repairing safety fencing removal of excess leaf mould from pathways which causes slip hazards, cut back of vegetation at entrances improves the look and the public perception of the local Authority. Finally collectively this pathway is a great asset to both the community and tourism industry.
Larkhall/Stonehouse
Green spaces not maintained well by Council
Increasing evidence of lack of maintenance, presumably in an effort to make financial savings.
More dog waste bins should be provided in these areas
Not enough and the park available is littered with glass. Youths always go there and cause trouble
Some of the greenspace in the area is spoiled by fly-tipping or abandoned burned out

cars. It does not give the area a safe feel or aesthetically pleasing on the eye.
Stonehouse is sadly lacking in open greenspace. The public park is not maintained to ensure the park is safe for children to use - the introduction of CCTV could be used as a deterrent for vandalism in the park
The council doesn't spend enough time or money in maintaining green spaces in our village
The new Larkhall Academy was built on a number of football pitches. The old school site has been earmarked for housing. This has been a big loss to the area with very few parks available.
The site of the old Stonehouse hospital would be a perfect space for dog walking and as a playing space but it is full of broken glass due to its abuse by youths in the evenings. Not only is it used by them as a safe haven for drinking, they frequently set fire to the trees.
Need more play parks
Cambuslang/Rutherglen Area
Cathkin Braes is an important area of greenspace used by the local community in a variety of different ways including running, cycling dog walking and horse riding and is an important resource for the local community as well as visitors alike. The areas of woodland are important in landscape terms as well as for their biodiversity value. In general the trees in the village of Carmunock are important in terms of their contribution to the quality of the conservation area as well as adding to the setting of the village as a whole.
Could be more seats and more green space available.
More patrols required to cut down on dog fouling
Motor bikes and quads make visits unpleasant in dry weather.
Stop mowing the grass to death and leave areas for wildlife, wildflowers etc. Stop using herbicide along hedgerows and pat edges - it just looks awful and is bad for the environment. Think about biodiversity and managing green spaces for wildlife value. It looks better, makes for a more diverse local environment. Start treating green spaces as places of intrinsic value rather than a cost code for grass cutting and maintenance
There is a big problem with litter and dog mess.
They should be managed as multi- functional spaces.
Only that any open green space is nearly always littered with dog poo
More green in greenspace
Parks could have more things for children
Would like them to be better lit at nigh
Strathaven
I live in Strathaven and have the main park close at hand and a small green area at the intersection of Kirk St and Thomson St, both of which are very well maintained and presented
Local lanes/ rights of way are not maintained and cleared very infrequently.
Strathaven park is beautiful and very well maintained
The greenspace near to my home is ideal for dog walking and bike riding, albeit there are OTHER people who have decided that it's also good for fly-tipping - as there is a significant amount of rubbish at this area at times. I have cleaned up many non-organic materials and put them in my bins...etc...but cannot deal with all the rubbish and so would suggest that SLC inspect the grassed area at the rear of Murray Drive in Stonehouse to make arrangements for the dumped rubbish to be uplifted as soon as is appropriate.
We need a new swing park as there is nothing for the kids to play with in Bankhead Terr
Well used and maintained

Appendix 6 - Planning issues raised through consultation

Industry

- Protecting industrial areas from inappropriately located housing developments (Sawdust from sawmills, smells from sewage works)
- Langlands West should be used for housing rather than kept for industry.
- Built in the right place at the time, but the population has now moved and many are now not in the right areas.
- Retail in industrial estates is a good idea – closer for local people
- No large retail units within industrial sites. Keep for industrial uses.
- Leisure uses in industrial estates is a good idea
- Safety issues of non industrial uses in industrial areas
- Range of industrial units in terms of size and location
- Encouragement/expansion of industrial development is crucial to future of area but must have good transport links/accessibility.
- Incentives to encourage industry/jobs on Brownfield Sites.
- Better siting of industrial units to motorway.
- Industrial areas kept for industry i.e. no shops/houses.
- Brownfield Industrial sites should be prioritised before Green Belt considered.
- Over supply of industrial sites in East Kilbride – re-use some for housing.
- Alternative sources of employment – eg tourism, sustainable green industry, woodland and timber development.

Housing

- Too many housing developments
- More general provision of housing
- Housing should have high standards of design
- Private builders – the Council should specify when selling land that some should be for affordable.
- Need for supply of affordable housing with suitable amenities
- Associated facilities and amenities with a “retirement village”.
- Mixed reaction to the suggestion of a mix of housing ie sheltered housing on ground floor and family housing above.
- Ground floor housing is important for elderly and people with a disability
- More housing for students
- Housing should be built beside schools
- All new homes should be energy saving (green) – boilers etc
- Not a lot of small houses/flats in a decent area for people to buy (first time buyers)
- No ribbon development
- Only family houses available – none for single people
- Applications for individual house development should be treated more favourably
- Use of existing housing land supply – capacity still available
- Use of available Brownfield land
- Use of vacant properties and buildings suitable for conversion
- Integration of development with existing communities
- Suitable infrastructure to support development
- Lack of new Council Housing.
- Appropriate housing mix to meet demand.
- Capacity of infrastructure to accommodate housing developments.
- Scale of housing developments is relative to existing communities.
- Enforcement/monitoring of housing developments.
- Lack of amenities near housing

- Too much emphasis on Greenfield rather than brownfield.
- Development sites should incorporate a % of sheltered housing as well as affordable housing to create a better mixed community.
- More account should be taken of local schools capacity when building new housing as most are full.
- Life time housing – flexibility of housing stock – as people get older – need to be able to stay at home with care rather than nursing homes.
- .Need for Amenity/Sheltered housing. Particularly in East Kilbride – inadequate supply of housing for rent to suit elderly needs – large population of elderly.
- Council house sales have meant housing for amenity being sold off.
- Lack of housing for young people – so that families can stay in East Kilbride for example.
- Hamilton and Lanark - limited supply of housing suitable to downsize especially housing with a garden.
- Encourage more bungalows/flatted developments with lifts – free up housing stock.
- Eco Housing – suggest that planning permission only granted where high standards of insulation, fuel efficiency, smart meters etc are incorporated into design. There could be a lot to learn from Europe – Scandinavia in particular.
- People trapped in unsuitable housing due to increasing disability.
- Should go above and beyond the current building standards.
- Langlands West should be used for housing rather than kept for industry.
- New housing developments must have infrastructure - shops, community centres, halls (with affordable lets).
- No housing/development on greenspace/parks areas.
- Turn empty shops into housing – grants would help – ideal for affordable housing.
- More support for housing in town centres and clearer assessment of mixed use developments.
- No housing development in greenbelt.
- Not enough design guidance for housing.
- No development on sites of importance for natural history (biodiversity should be taken into account for all developments).
- More policies are required for gypsy travellers sites.
- Site allocations disadvantage self builders to volume builders..
- Housing should only be approved when need demonstrated/justified.
- Protect floodplains (adhere to planning guidelines).
- Do not allow urban coalescence.
- No land releases unless developer bears full development cost of infrastructure.
- No further Greenfield release required – CGAs are sufficient to meet demand.
- Do not re-assess CGA's to suit housebuilders
- Atholl house and Stuart Hotel should be converted to flats for young or elderly people.
- Surplus housing land could be used for food production.
- Owenstown – Is that development still on the cards?

Retail

- Lack of shops, poor choice
- Do more to encourage town centre regeneration e.g. mixed uses.
- Larkhall new Asda will improve economy as it will provide a proper supermarket within the town.
- More consideration to be given to the impact on small businesses when planning major retail developments.
- Small shops are closing down because of the big ones and there isn't the access for older people for the big ones so there needs to be both local shops and supermarkets.

- People want what's easiest and cheapest for them and therefore don't support their local community shops.
- Hamilton – since Asda was built shops have moved from the top end to Cadzow Street leaving empty units to be within walking distance of the Asda car park.
- East Kilbride – won't reduce shop rents to get units filled cos they don't want cheap shops.
- More local shops → support local business.
- Concern about goods sold from the shop being situated outside shop – concern about safety/people with eye problems.
- Sense of community through shopping – lack of shopping facilities – sense of community suffers.
- Planning permission – should ensure more community elements associated with the store. (Café area, charity sponsorship etc).
- Too many supermarkets – killing off High Street shops/retail giants destroying local trade.
- Not enough shops in Hamilton
- Need more variety of shops in Blantyre
- Better places needed for lunch near schools
- Good to be close to shops like Tesco
- Limit of number of large retail superstores
- Allow superstores to develop as they see fit and find their own capacity
- Poor Design of out of town retail centres (St James Centre)
- Review number of retail units in EK Village
- Management of retail areas in terms of litter, cleanliness etc
- Re-use of existing vacant retail floorspace
- Need to encourage local shopping and local produce – protect local shops
- Supermarkets killing local shops in rural communities – Financial support needed in some way
- Lack of consultation on design of Town Centre buildings. (Disabled community)
- Is there a need for further supermarkets in East Kilbride, given economic downturn?
- Too many supermarkets in East Kilbride.
- East Kilbride Town Centre is struggling – too many empty units not being let.
- Kitchfield site should not be developed for retail.
- Conflict between night-time economy and high elderly population in East Kilbride Village.
- East Kilbride Village needs a special policy.
- Should policy to restrict non retail uses be relaxed in East Kilbride Village?
- Need to improve neighbourhood shopping areas.
- Poor choice of shops in Cambuslang Town Centre. Too many fast food, off licences, betting shops and hairdressers.
- More shops in Halfway.
- Keep retail parks outside towns.
- Morrisons in Cambuslang sited too far from town centre for people walking.
- Cambuslang does not work well as a town centre due to parking/layout of streets.
- Discourage out of town centre shopping.
- Need health checks done on town centres.
- Allow more flexibility on town centre units/change of use to non retail.
- Larkhall town centre lack of retail choice and too many fast food outlets.
- There are no shops in Strutherhill.
- When locating new retail facilities Impact on traffic and amenity must be considered as a priority.
- Improve design standards for shop fronts and advertising.

- Commercial and industrial development should not proceed unless need demonstrated.
- Development/refurbishment of old “ring” market.
- Consider existing uses and mix of retail when assessing new applications.
- Improvements needed to Lanark and Carluke Town Centres.
- Pettinain needs something – shop/Post Office/café/inn/newsagents – buses are rare – how do people manage without a car?
- Improve retail offer in local areas (mix of food shops –verses- services) Important for people who cannot travel to supermarkets.
- Supermarkets kept off main streets.

Open space

- Not enough spaces for people to spend time
- Open spaces important to community – don’t build on. Important for health
- No development on greenspaces and more greenspaces. They are valued
- Lots of greenspace in Carluke
- Open spaces in Lanark (Racecourse, Castlebank Park, Lanark Loch etc). Very important to community, should not be built on
- Shouldn’t build too much in countryside as destroys quiet peace
- Do not build on any more parks in Bothwell.
- Community to look after their own green space?
- “Main” areas protected ie Strathaven and Lanark but not others.
- Open space in middle of Uddingston is precious.
- Need to look at all green spaces as some is not being used for amenity purposes – being abused/partying/vandalism.
- Good to build a store at Peel Park development on green space.
- No more development on urban greenspace.
- Parks don’t get replaced when build on
- Retain existing urban open spaces
- Maintain and upgrade open spaces
- Make use of excess land in urban areas for development

Environment

- Keep green and rural land
- Greenbelt policy too restrictive – does not support economic development.
- Allotments are a good idea – growing your own fruit and veg. – but there is a big waiting list and security is an issue, theft of produce and equipment.
- East Kilbride Village conservation area should be extended.
- Torrance Hotel should be a listed building and be in conservation area.
- More allotments should be created – eg in areas to rear of schools
- Kittoch field and Colonsay Field should be used for allotments/food production.
- Plant more trees wherever feasible.
- Need better environmental education.
- Protect bats and nesting birds.
- Green belt should not be considered for development.
- Ensure mature trees are replaced in TPO areas.
- Maintain corridors of greenbelt.
- Preserve the heritage of Biggar
- Strathaven is nice due to character
- Stop cutting the small woods
- Keep green/farm land. Build on areas that are made for building
- Conservation Areas to be protected/enhanced and created more readily

- Allotments to be encouraged on urban unused spaces or disused garden centres
- Reuse/upgrade vacant buildings
- Protect greenbelt from development and use for recreational and conservation purposes
- Greenbelt should not be regarded as untouchable
- Protect rural areas and encourage rural industries
- Re-use rural Brownfield sites
- Retention of cycle paths and core paths
- Encourage woodland planting
- Garden centres should be considered a retail use, not an environmental use
- Farmland is important – cattle, sheep, pigs and crops need plenty of room
- Allow change of use in rural or semi-rural areas, to the advantage of house building
- No more house building/in the Greenbelt.
- Wildlife corridors around new developments.
- Better environmental education for all ages.
- Make use of local knowledge r/e wildlife.
- Motorway embankments to be used for agriculture/Biofuels.
- Too many gardens paved over; floodrisk.
- Siting of trees should avoid cutting out sunlight from homes.
- No re-designation of greenbelt without local consultation.
- Lack of footpaths in the countryside.
- Developments should be sympathetic to rural areas.
- Keep division between villages.
- Infrastructure/roads need to be good before development takes place.
- Poor design of housing in the countryside.
- Preservation of old buildings for tourism e.g. Old St Kentigerns Church, William Smellie Memorial Toll Booth, Lanark.
- Protection of the scenery.
- Clyde Valley and Falls of Clyde should be a national park.
- Protect heritage.
- Litter in countryside/fly tipping.
- Protect the built environment.
- Maximise use of local walks and Clyde paths.
- Clydesdale is a large and beautiful area. Planning laws must be more alert to the despoiling of our natural beauty. Once lost, if cannot be replaced – no to windmills, quarries, mines and housing estates. No Owenstown!
- Planning should be encouraging good design.
- Small scale development on perimeter of towns.
- Incentives to farmers to encourage access to countryside.
- Why are Lanark and Carluke such dumps compared to Peebles and Moffat.
- Plant a diverse mix of new trees and more trees.
- No trees to be felled unless dangerous.
- Maintain floodplains.
- Clear up River Clyde and tributaries (and River Avon).
- Take positive steps to conserve and enhance natural environment.
- Create a joined up network of cycle paths and walkways.
- Agricultural and recreational developments encouraged in greenbelt.
- No windfarms in greenbelt – should be more remote.
- Develop a tourism strategy for Clyde Valley.
- Further protection for SSSI's.
- Give priority to small building sites on edge of greenbelt.

- Allow dwellinghouses for businesses in rural area (where a case has been demonstrated).
- Retain country pubs and restaurants in rural areas for tourism.
- Make RSPS, SNH and SWT aware of derelict farm buildings and use them for education.
- Explore opportunities for investment and sustainable growth in Clyde Valley.
- Sensitive greenbelt should be protected from development.
- More relaxed approach to rural/greenbelt development, where this does not lead to coalescence.
- TPO legislation needs punitive fines to curb unauthorised felling.
- Not enough dedicated walking/cycling paths from Hamilton to East Kilbride and East Kilbride to Strathaven.

Community facilities

- Library needs redoing (Strathaven)
- Town Mill needs to be used more/promoted/refurbished
- Need more hospitals
- Not much shops, activities, things to do near West Craigs
- Burst water pipes need repaired
- Should incorporate swing parks into high density housing (flats etc) more than large housing areas as many people in 4 bedroom housing will have play equipment in their gardens.
- Kids need safe places to play – architects should design play areas which are within courtyard type areas where they are overlooked by plenty of houses.

Waste and renewable energy

- Improve recycling levels to reduce landfill
- Encourage wind turbines in industrial areas.
- Windmills put them in the hills or mountains
- Windmills/solar panels on school site
- Homes and cars given chance to become “more green”
- More development of renewable energy in areas where farming and residential opportunities are limited
- In favour of Windfarms
- Against Windfarms
- Objections to the incinerator – it could leave long term effects on communities
- Could waste not be incinerated, there are many perceived health issues but it is an efficient alternative to current solutions
- Why not use SP’s in Council offices, also should be in schools.
- Ground source heat pumps – can be problematic in SLC area because of undermining.
- Wind turbines noisy when near houses.
- Windfarms are making money but not producing energy efficiently all the time.
- Should provide subsidies for householder turbines and solar panels – new housing should be built involving these technologies.
- More investment in energy for wave technologies.
- New technologies aren’t always the best – condensing boilers are greener and people have been encourage to get them but they freeze below a certain temperature.
- Is there a future for gas in this country with it being brought in from other countries.
- Limit further onshore wind development (unless effectiveness is improved)
- Obtain/maximise community benefit from any further windfarms
- Increase solar energy generation

- Consider nuclear energy possibilities
- Increase energy generation through anaerobic digestion
- Conversion of waste to heat or power (for)
- Against conversion of waste to heat or power
- Correct siting of new landfill sites (away from communities)
- No further landfill sites
- Promote double glazing and improved housing insulation
- Waste:-
 - no single solution
 - all about location of disposal site
 - need to satisfy SLC in SLC
- Reduce packaging in retail products will reduce the need for water disposal
- A moratorium on the existing policy of wind turbines around East Kilbride
- A lot of outlying areas still use coal or oil heating, fossil fuel consumption could be reduced by piping gas into these areas
- More use of solar panels on housing and other buildings.
- Encourage more wind turbines with community benefits.
- No more wind turbines.
- Not enough waste and recycling bins.
- More information/education about waste prevention and disposal.
- Alternative ways to heat homes and better insulation
- Better home insulation and improved design to capture passive solar energy.
- Use “state of the art” wood burning stoves and locally produced wood to heat new homes.
- More use of recycled materials in construction.
- Better use of garden and food waste.
- Use carbon capture in conjunction with hi-tech
- Hydro power from the Clyde.
- Impact on wildlife from wind turbine developments.
- More local community involvement in recycling.
- Encourage/ease controls for domestic and commercial solar panels/micro-generation for local communities.
- Small wind turbines acceptable for farms only.
- Encourage new housing to be energy efficient.
- Impact of wind turbines on scenery, woodlands, tourism.
- Move away from putting waste in landfill sites.
- Quarry developments impacting on scenery.
- Special uplifts needed to keep countryside free of rubbish.
- Integrated wind and power station.
- No windfarm on Pettinain Hill (a planning application is in place). How ridiculous to ruin a beauty spot for financial gain.
- At Pettinain our showers are red as a result of Cloburn Quarry pollution – yet we live in fear of the quarry closing and the site being used as landfill.
- The plans for a quarry on the river at Biggar which is quite beautiful and relatively unspoilt.
- Council grants should be available for solar panels.
- Solar power should be a priority over wind power.
- Continue recycling of all possible materials
- Wave power would be a solution in inland waterways
- Encourage more domestic solar power e.g. through grants.
- Solar panels and individual wind turbines should be allowed in conservation areas.
- New council builds should incorporate renewable energy solutions.

- Renewable Energy and waste recycling should be in industrial areas not greenbelt.
- Civic heating from incinerators.
- Plant more trees.
- New windfarm developments should take account of cumulative impact.
- No development on flood plains.
- Use flood plains for flood management schemes.
- Liaise with upstream or downstream councils re flooding.
- Incentives for businesses who recycling or/and use renewable energy.
- Residents should benefit from renewable energy developments in their area.
- Council Tax Relief should be based on renewable credentials
- No further dumping at South Cathkin Landfill site.

Miscellaneous

- Developers should be made to give more to planning gain
- More enforcement powers for LA
- Rural areas do not get fair deal from SLL – too much emphasis on urban matters
- LA should enforce owners to maintain their properties and land (including listed buildings)
- Developers to stop “cramming” buildings
- Planning issues on website in advance of decision.
- Improve dialogue with objectors in planning applications.
- More “green” design on new build..
- Development Management – Shift from tick the box planning to more open and site specific assessment.
- Flexibility of planning regulations to encourage café cultures where appropriate.
- Better explanation of role/purpose of greenbelt.
- Facilitate development in green spaces that will enable environmental enhancement/stewardship.
- Compulsory purchase of derelict property after negotiations.
- Difficult to comment on the review of Housing Policy as not involved in the original policy from 2009. Deaf/Disabled people are less/not aware of the current/previous plan and therefore not able to comment.
- Policies should require higher standard of design – especially for boundary treatment in new housing estates.
- Conservation Areas – Address unauthorised alterations (windows and doors) otherwise no point maintaining CA status.
- Assist the voluntary sector and faith groups via planning regulations/permissions.
- environment need to deal with knotweed and Himalayan balsam infestations along rivers etc in a concerted way.

Transport

- Lack of parking outside local shops.
- Poor parking in town centres.
- More parking at public transport facilities
- Parking issues (lack of) in Cambuslang/Rutherglen Town Centres. It is hard to see how more space can be found –More parking needed in Halfway.
- Parking restrictions outside small shops should be removed.
- Parking should be close to shops..
- Too much emphasis on parking standards for new developments and change of use.

Street Furniture Issues

- Positioning of street furniture – poles/barriers/signs – issue for wheelchair and blind people – affects prams too.

Pedestrian Issues

- No pedestrian access from Main Street through Borgie Glen to Cambuslang Park.
- Improved street lighting and paths at night
- Rural villages – no footpaths.
- Poor access to bus stops
- Underpass – not safe
- More off road cycle paths and walking routes.

Roads Congestion/Systems Issues

- The congestion in the High Street in Lanark has to be attended to.
- Development of private housing – approx 30 houses. Nearly every household has 2 cars.
- Traffic Management on King Street, Stonehouse.
- New traffic system around Peacock Cross, HQ – the light system has people hanging around for ages and is difficult to turn certain direction, buses have also been diverted to new routes which don't enable people to get easily to HQ.
- Too many roundabouts in East Kilbride
- Lanark roads are too narrow (High Street)
- Larkhall – roads too busy if problem on motorway
- Blantyre roads busy if problem on expressway
- Make Town Centres pedestrianised
- Encourage traffic to keep shops alive
- Restrict development to areas with access to public transport
- Traffic noise/nuisance – Uddingston – “Restrict Commercial Vehicles Hours of Operation”.
- Impact of traffic must be a crucial factor when assessing development proposals.
- More traffic controls in residential areas.
- Keep cars out of town centres.
- Too many restaurants leading to parking congestion.
- Development at Poneil should have road and rail connection.
- New developments should be connected by road and rail.
- Impact on retail parking when commercial vehicles park.
- Lanark Bypass.
- Traffic congestion on Lanark High Street.
- Lack of consultation with local community r/e new transport developments.

**South Lanarkshire
Local Development Plan**

South Lanarkshire Council
Community and Enterprise Resources
Planning and Building Standards Services
Montrose House, Montrose Crescent
Hamilton ML3 6LB
www.southlanarkshire.gov.uk

For further information or to enquire about
having this information supplied in an
alternative format or language,
please phone 01698 455934 or email:
planning@southlanarkshire.gov.uk