## Explanation of Table 6.1

Key:	) No Ca	pacity	Low	Capad	city	M	ediur	n Ca	pacity High Capacit	у							
UNDEI taking a	RLYING account	LAND	SCAPE ent wind	CAP energ	ACIT gy de	ΓΥ (i.e evelop	e. not omen	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	URE D	DEVE	ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Ser nergy D	nsitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>idsca</b> lated e)	to tur	apaci bine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lar (Re	<b>naini Idsca</b> It'd to	ng ipe Ca turbin	<b>pacit</b> e size	t <b>y</b> e)	Analysis & Guidelin
Landscape Character Sensitivitv	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
Lands	cape Cl	haracte	r Area:	Nam	e of	Land	lscaj	pe C	haracter Area/ Sub-Ar	rea							
Med/ High	Al Med/ High High High O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O								Brief description of consented wind energy developments (at time of report), including numbers size range, distribution, with key developments named.	Wind Turbine Landscape Type(s) within the area resulting from current consented levels of development (refer to <b>Table 2.1</b> for description of type and map in <b>Figure 6.2</b> for distribution of types across study area)	Proposed limits to future Wind Energy development expressed as a Wind Turbine Landscape Type (refer to <b>Table 2.1</b> for description of type and <b>Figure 6.3</b> for proposed distribution of types across the study area)	Res capa of di cate derir undu capa prop devo cons white ener alrea undu capa	idual l acity f ifferen gories ved fre erlying acity a bosed elopm siderir ch cur rgy de ady oo erlying acity	andsca or deve t turbing s. This is om the g landsc and the limits to ent by ng the e rent win evelopm coupies g landsc	pe lopme e size s cape o futur xtent id ient the cape	ent ere to	Landscape Analysis: Brief description of key sub-area affecting its ca development. Development Capacity Brief comment on lands potential future proposa
Assessn sensitivit landscap area (fro <b>Append</b>	Assessment of landscape sensitivity and value of the landscape character area or sub- area (from detailed assessment in Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Appendix 5) Assessment of landsc capacity for different turbine sizes derived the sensitivity and val assessment and map in Figures 6.1a-e. The 'underlying' capacity of the landscape and do not take into account cumulative effects of existing/ consented w energy development.						andso erent rived t nd val d map -e. Th acity o nd do count cts of ted w ment.	from ue ped is of the the			Max. Numbers in GroupSuggested range/ maximum number of turbines in groupings to ensure capacity is not exceededMin Group Separation Distances (km)Suggested separation distance between turbine groupings to ensure capacity is not exceeded	1- 3 2- 4	1- 3 3- 5				

## sed acceptable level of wind energy

### nes

qualities and characteristics of the landscape character area/ apacity to accommodate different types of wind turbine

#### y:

scape capacity and on the effects of current developments and als in relation to landscape capacity.

## **1. URBAN FRINGE FARMLAND**

The Urban Fringe Farmlands are a lowland character type, located in the north and west of the study area, surrounding East Kilbride and Hamilton with smaller areas around Carluke and Larkhall. They mainly merge into *Plateau Farmland* areas on the upper edges (with which they bear many similarities) and *Incised River Valleys* and urban areas on the lower edges. The type is distributed across the northern part of South Lanarkshire, in the Clyde Basin Farmlands. There are three landscape character areas: (i) East Kilbride and Hamilton; (ii) Carluke; (iii) Larkhall/ Ferniegair and Calderglen.



Table 6.1(a) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Urban Fringe Farmland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	) No Ca	pacity	Low	Capad	city	M	ediui	n Ca	pacity High Capacit	У							
UNDER taking a	RLYING account	LANDS of curre	SCAPE ent wind	CAP ener	ACI <sup>-</sup> gy de	FY (i. evelo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	от б	FUT	URE	DEV	ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landsca Wind Er	ape Sen nergy De	sitivity f evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	dsca lated	to tur	<b>apac</b> bine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lar (Re	naini Idsca It'd to	ng ape C turbi	<b>apac</b> i ne siz	<b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Gui
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
1. Urba	n Fring	ge Farm	land: (	i) Ea	st K	ilbria	le an	d Ha	amilton			<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>			
Med	High	Med/ High	Med/ High		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Blantyre Muir has 6x115m turbines between East Kilbride and Hamilton. One125m turbine at Cathkin Braes	Urban Fringe Farmland with Wind Turbines/ with Occ. Wind Turbines/ with No Wind Turbines	Urban Fringe Farmland with Wind Turbines/ with Occ. Wind Turbines		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: N suitable for turbine deve to urban areas so visual may be an issue for larg transmission lines cross
									N of East Kilbride is adjacent to the LCA. 7 or		Max. Numbers in Group	3	3	3	1		Development Capacity
									turbines (15-80m) in/ adjacent to southern edge of LCA.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-3	3-4	5-8		occupied by Blantyre Mu Wind Turbines. Any furth maintain a minimum 5kr should be sufficiently se appearance. Avoid proxi consideration to the pote properties.
1. Urba	n Fring	ge Farm	land: (	ii) Ca	arluk	re											
Med	High	Med/ High	Med/ High		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	Two operational turbines. One operational 49.2m turbine N of Carluke and one operational 24.5m	Urban Fringe Farmland with no Wind Turbines/ with Occ. Wind Turbines	Urban Fringe Farmland with Wind Turbines/ with Occ. Wind Turbines		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: S closer to built up areas. Development Capacity
									turbine near Braidwood. Black Law operational turbines are a prominent		Max. Numbers in Group	3	3	3			further turbines below 80
									backdrop on skyline to NW at 2-3km distance.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-3	3-4			
1. Urba	n Fring	ge Farm	land: (	iii) La	arkh	all/F	ernie	egair	and Calderglen		-	-	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>		
Med	High	Med/ High	High	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	None within, although a 50-80m turbine lies 1km SW of Calderglen.	Urban Fringe Farmland with no Wind Turbines	Urban Fringe Farmland with no Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Landscape Analysis: T country parks which are designations including L
											Max. Numbers in Group	1					Development Capacity
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-4					30m, well separated, du

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

### es

idance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

Most UFF have scale, landform and development characteristics elopment. However they are relatively limited in area and close Ily sensitive. Proximity to settlements and residential properties ger turbines. There are several large scale electricity sing this area, which have potential for cumulative effects.

y: Significant capacity between Hamilton and East Kilbride is uir and nearby single turbines creating an area of UFF With her significant development of larger (80m+) turbines should m separation. Whilst there is capacity for smaller turbines, they eparated from Blantyre Muir to avoid creating a confusing visual kimity to areas with concentrations of electricity lines and give ential for effects on nearby settlements and residential

See above. This area is significantly smaller than area (i) and

y: With only one 30-50m turbine, there is potential capacity for 0m height. Turbines of 80m or greater are not recommended.

These areas are focused around Chatelherault and Calderglen e popular visitor locations and have two or more environmental ower and Middle Clyde SLAs and Chatelherault HGDL.

**y**: Not recommended for turbine development greater than single le to designations and recreational use.

# **2. INCISED RIVER VALLEYS**

The Incised River Valleys are a lowland character type. They encompass the main river systems of the Clyde and its tributaries located in the Clyde Basin Farmlands and are incised into the Plateau, Rolling and Urban Fringe Farmlands. They are characterised by steep, densely wooded sides, enclosure and smaller scale, with small settlements located in the wider areas of the Clyde valley. The lower parts of the valleys pass between the main urban areas in the north of the local authority area. Six landscape character areas are identified: (i) River Clyde (Hamilton-Bothwell); (ii) Mid Clyde Valley; (iii) Rotten Calder; (iv) Avon Water; (v) River Nethan; (vi) Mouse Water.



# **3. BROAD URBAN VALLEY**

Broad Urban Valley is a lowland character type in the north of South Lanarkshire, encompassing the lower reaches of the Clyde in the Clyde Basin Farmlands as they merge into the Glasgow Conurbation. They are characterised by the meandering river and surrounding urban fringe farmland, housing and industry. The single landscape character area, comprising three fragments set between Hamilton, Motherwell, Cambuslang and Glasgow, is the Lower River Clyde.



Table 6.1(b). Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Incised River Valleys and Broad Urban Valley (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Karr				2012 -		<b>`</b>		- 0-									
Key:	) No Ca	pacity		Capad	city (	) M	ediu	m Ca	Pacity High Capacit	У.							
UNDER taking a	RLYING account	LAND of curre	SCAPE ent wind	CAP energ	ACI gy de	ΓΥ (i. evelo	e. no pmei	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	б ТО	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELC	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landsc Wind E	ape Ser nergy D	isitivity evelopn	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>dsca</b> lated	to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lar (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca	ng ape C o turbi	apac ine si	: <b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
2. Incis	sed Riv	er Valle	ey: <i>(i)</i> R	iver	Clyd	le (H	amili	ton-E	Bothwell); (ii)Mid-Clyd	e Valley; (iii) Rotten	Calder; (iv) Avon Wa	ter; (	(v) R	iver	Neth	an; (	vi) Mouse Water
High	Med/ High	High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Currently only one 30- 50m height turbine within this type. Some visual influence on Calder Glen	Incised River Valley with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	Incised River Valley with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: development due to dee sheltered nature.
									from Blantyre Muir turbines and one 50-80m								Development Capacity smaller single turbines a
									turbine in East Kilbride. The LCT is mainly unaffected.		Max. Numbers in Group	1	1				Clyde valley between H affect perceptions of val
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5				
3. Broa	ad Urba	n Valle	v: Low	er Ri	ver (	Clyd	e							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Med/ Low	Med/ High	Med	Med/ High	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	None	Broad Urban Valley with no Wind Turbines	Broad Urban Valley with Occasional Wind Turbines	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	Landscape Analysis: and Glasgow and is hea Overlooked by a signific
											Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1	1			Development Capacity and very limited develop
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5	4-6			commercial locations.

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

These areas are generally unsuited to larger turbine ep, incised topography and small/medium scale but also due to

**y:** Development currently well within capacity. Potential exists for associated with development in wider valley bottoms such as the lamilton and Crossford. Any taller than 40-50m would adversely illey scale. Larger turbines in adjacent areas may also adversely *leys*.

This type covers a limited area between Hamilton, Cambuslang avily influenced by the surrounding urban development. cant residential and travelling population.

**y:** Suitable for limited development of turbines below 50m tall pment of turbines up to 80m tall in the more industrial/

## **4. ROLLING FARMLAND**

The *Rolling Farmland* is a lowland landscape type primarily located in the Clyde Basin Farmlands either side of the Clyde Valley but also on the eastern edge of the Southern Upland Foothills north of Biggar. It is of a medium, sometimes smaller, scale with a rolling, often complex topography and smaller fields with more tree belts and hedges than the *Plateau Farmland*, giving it a greater sense of enclosure and shelter. Typically it is a more settled landscape more closely associated with settlements. Four landscape character areas are identified: (i) North and East of Lanark; (ii) West of Lanark/ Clyde Valley; (iii) South of Strathaven/ Sandford; (iv) Biggar and Dunsyre.



Table 6.1(c). Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Rolling Farmland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	)No Ca	pacity	Low	Capao	city	М	lediui	m Ca	pacity High Capacit	у							
UNDEF taking a	RLYING account	LAND of curre	SCAPE ent wind	CAP ener	ACIT gy de	ΓΥ (i. evelo	e. no pmer	rt nt)	CURRENT CONSENT	ſED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	от б	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELO	PMENT (i.e. propo
Landsc Wind Er	ape Sen nergy D	sitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	dsca lated	to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca It'd to	ng pe C turbi	<b>apac</b> ne si	i <b>ty</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
4. Rolli	ng Far	mland:	(i) Nort	th an	d Ea	ist of	f Lan	ark;	(ii) West of Lanark/ C	lyde Valley							
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	Current development limited to occasional single/ small groups of turbines mainly between	Rolling Farmland with Wind Turbines/ with Occ. Wind Turbines/ with No Wind	Rolling Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines/ with Wind Turbines			$\bigcirc$	0	0	Landscape Analysis: the smaller scale, comp groups and settlement.
									are notable concentra- tions around Hawksland	Turbines	Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3	1			Development Capacit occasional turbines up
									and Carstairs/ Carnwath. Near the latter there are several 50-80m turbines.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	5- 10			to current consented de around Carstairs and n
4. Rolli	ng Far	mland:	(iii) Sou	ith o	f Str	atha	ven/	San	dford				1	Į	1	11	
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	4x 30-50m turbines and 2x 50-80m turbines within or adjacent. Kype Muir	Rolling Farmland with Wind Turbines	Rolling Farmland with Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: Valley to the north and development to the sou
									(26nr turbines at 132m) within 1km of LCA.			1	1				larger wind turbines.
												1-2	3-4				Development Capacit adjacent Kype Muir win would exceed capacity
4. Rolli	ng Far	mland:	(iv) Big	ggar	and	Dun	syre					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Į			
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Current development limited to several single turbines under 30m.	Rolling Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	Rolling Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: proximity to the Pentlar Black Mount SLA. It lies development
											Max. Numbers in Group	1					Development Capacit
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-3					development is within c

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

### ies

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

This type is generally not suitable for the largest turbines due to plex landform and detail of the landscape with smaller fields, tree

ty: There is capacity for occasional turbines up to 50m and very to 80m tall. Further significant numbers of larger turbines added evelopment would exceed capacity in localised areas such as near Hawksland by creating areas of Wind Turbine Landscape.

This LCA is the smallest of the type and lies between the Avon Rolling Moorland with significant consented windfarm uth. The area grades into both and could be easily dominated by

ty: Current consented development together with the influence of ndfarm is close to capacity. Further proposals for larger turbines

This Rolling Farmland LCA is the most sensitive due to its close nd Hills and Biggar and location within the Pentland Hills and es within an extensive area of currently very limited wind energy

ty: Not suitable for turbines larger than 30m. Current turbine capacity.

## **5. PLATEAU FARMLAND**

The Plateau Farmland landscape character type occurs on the lower slopes of the Plateau Moorland and Rolling Moorland areas. Plateau Farmlands are characterised by their transitional location between the more sheltered landscapes of Rolling Farmlands and Broad Valley Upland, and exposed uplands and moorlands. The type is distributed across much of the northern part of South Lanarkshire, with six areas identified for the purposes of this study: (i) Western Plateau: East Kilbride/ Strathaven/ Drumclog; (ii) Western Plateau Larkhall/ Lesmahagow/ Coalburn; (iii) Central Plateau: Carluke/ Forth/ Carnwath; (iv) Central Plateau: Tarbrax; (v) Southern Uplands Foothills: Libberton/ Elsrickle; (vi) Central Plateau: Newbigging/ Weston.



Table 6.1(d) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Plateau Farmland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	No C	apacity	/ () Lo	w Ca	apac	ity(	) м	ediu	m Capacity 🦳 High (	Capacity							
UNDER taking a	RLYING account	LANDS of curre	SCAPE	CAP ener	ACI gy d	TY (i. evelo	.e. no opmei	ot nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landsc Wind E	ape Sen nergy D	sitivity f evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>idsca</b> lated e)	ape C I to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> dsca lt'd to	ng pe C turbi	apac	i <b>ty</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
5. Plate	eau Far	mland:	(i) We:	stern	Pla	teau	: Eas	t Kil	bride/ Strathaven/ Dru	mclog		<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>		-
Med	Med /High	Med	Med					0	Current development within this LCA includes over 20 single or paired turbines between 15m and 80m height. Small areas of concentrated	Plateau Farmland with No Wind turbines/Occasional Wind Turbines/ with Wind Turbines/ Wind Turbines in Plateau	Plateau Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines/ with Wind Turbines/ Wind Turbines in Plateau Farmland				$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: A Generally suitable for m turbines, due to the sim Nevertheless larger gro of the LCAs are affected with significant windfarm
									development lie near Chapelton, Glassford and Drumclog, Close	Farmland	Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5	1-5		Development Capacity
									proximity of extensive Whitelee/ Calder Water clusters and Blantyre Muir windfarm affects the N and W edges.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5	5- 10	5- 10		windfarms significantly a consented singly or in c turbines should be care smaller scale valleys, vi adjacent moorland area
5. Plate	eau Far	mland:	(ii) We	steri	n Pla	ateau	ı: Laı	rkhal	ll/ Lesmahagow/ Coall	burn	<u></u>				-		
Med	Med /High	Med	Med		C		$\bigcirc$	0	Concentration of larger (80-120m) turbines between Larkhall and Blackwood in the N and	Plateau Farmland with Wind turbines/ with No Wind Turbines	Plateau Farmland with Wind turbines/ with Occasional Wind Turbines	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: <sup>2</sup> by proximity of settleme has a more open charac the middle Clyde Valley
									Broken Cross. Many other (>40) single or		Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5	1		Development Capacity
									paired turbines throughout this area. Consented Auchrobert and Kype Muir on <i>Rolling</i> <i>Moorland</i> to the W.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5	5- 10	5- 10		vind Turbines over mu

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

Plateau Farmland is the most extensive lowland landscape type. nost turbines, including well separated smaller groups of larger ple large scale landforms and large rectilinear field patterns. oups would overwhelm other key elements of the character. Most d by proximity to *Plateau Moorland* and *Rolling Moorland* areas m development. This LCA is typical of the type.

**y:** Turbines within LCA are mainly within capacity, but adjacent affect the area around Drumclog. Smaller turbines should be clusters with significant gaps between. Applications for larger fully reviewed where close to sensitive areas (eg, settlements, iewpoints) and to avoid visual coalescence with windfarms in IS.

This LCA is focused around the M74 corridor. It is characterised ents, significant areas of industry and coal extraction, although cter to the W as it rises to Rolling Moorland. To the east it abuts and an area of Rolling Farmland.

y: Existing turbines create an area of *Plateau Farmland with* ich of the area. A significant further number of turbines could urbines in Plateau Farmland, which would exceed capacity.

Key:	No C	apacity	/ () Lo	w Ca	ipaci	ty(	) М	ediu	m Capacity 🔵 High (	Capacity							
UNDEF taking a	RLYING account	LANDS of curre	SCAPE	CAP ener	ACIT gy de	Y (i. velo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSENT	ſED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	URE	DEV	'ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landsc Wind E	ape Sen nergy De	sitivity t evelopm	o ient	Lan (Re size	dsca lated	<b>pe C</b> to tur	<b>apac</b> bine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Ren Lan (Rel	<b>naini</b> I <b>dsca</b> It'd to	ng ipe C turbi	<b>apac</b> i ne siz	<b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Guid
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
5. Plate	eau Far	mland:	(iii) Ce	entral	Plat	eau:	Car	luke	/ Forth/ Carnwath								
Med	Med /High	Med	Med	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Over 30 single/ small group turbines between 15m and 80m in height located in or near this	Plateau Farmland with Wind turbines/ with Occasional Wind Turbines	Plateau Farmland with Wind turbines/ with Occasional Wind Turbines	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: T N and the Pentland Hills moorland/ blanket bog. T development to the north
									LCA with Black Law and Muirhall windfarms in		Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5			Development Capacity
									close proximity affecting N and E edges.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5	5- 10			approximately 80m heigh could potentially lead to <i>Plateau Farmland</i> with w create areas of Wind Tur
5. Plate	eau Far	mland:	(iv) Ce	entral	Plat	eau:	Tar	brax									
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	No turbines located in this area. Muirhall and extension lies within 1km W and Harburnhead	Plateau Farmland with no Wind Turbines/ With Wind Turbines	Plateau Farmland with no Wind Turbines/ With Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: T Plateau Moorland and th Mount SLA. Visual influe extent Pates Hill, signific
									2.5km north.		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3					Development Capacity
											<i>Min Group Separation Distances (km)</i>	2-3					of Tarbrax Village at N ershould be developed and
5. Plate	eau Far	mland:	(v) So	uther	n Up	olanc	ds Fo	oothi	lls: Libberton/ Elsrick	le		_		-			
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Current development includes 19 single or paired turbines at 15-30m height. Tree belts reduce	Plateau Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines	Plateau Farmland with Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	$\bigcirc$	Landscape Analysis: 7 Plateau Farmland type. I and the River Clyde and Common).
									intervisibility between the turbines		Max. Numbers in Group	1					Development Capacity
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					significant concentration should be developed due

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

idance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

This LCA rises to the NE, lying between *Plateau Moorland* to the s to the E. It is fragmented by areas of unimproved plateau There is a significant backdrop of existing windfarm th and east.

**y:** There is limited scope for further wind turbines of up to ght singly or in small groups. Further significant development o visual coalescence between existing developments in the windfarms and turbines in the adjacent *Plateau Moorland*, or urbine landscape in the *Plateau* and *Rolling Farmlands*.

This is a small area of improved farmland between an area of he Pentland Hills. It lies within the Pentland Hills and Black ence of Muirhall and extension, Harburnhead and to a lesser cantly affects the character of the LCA.

**y:** Due to existing effects from nearby windfarms and presence end of the area it is recommended that no turbines over 30m nd none near the village.

This LCA has a more unspoiled rural character than much of the It is located between the Pentland Hills, the Southern Uplands d between two prominent foothills (Black Mount and Biggar

**y:** Very limited capacity for further development due to a n of existing turbines under 30m height. No turbines over 30m ue to the prevailing development type.

Key:	No C	Capacity	/ 🔿 Lo	w Ca	apaci	ity(	) M	ediu	m Capacity High	Capacity							
UNDE taking	RLYING account	CANDS	SCAPE	CAP ener	ACI gy de	ΓΥ (i. evelo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN DEVELOPMENT	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	JRE	DEV	ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Ser nergy D	nsitivity evelopn	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>idsca</b> lated e)	to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lar (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca It'd to	ng pe C turbi	<b>apac</b> ne siz	<b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Gui
Landscape Character Sensitivitv	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity Landscape Value 30-<50m 50-<80m 80-<120m										15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
5. Plat	eau Far	mland:	(vi) Ce	entra	l Pla	teau	: Nev	vbig	ging/ Weston								
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Current development includes one consented 84m turbine and two single turbines below	Plateau Farmland with Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	Plateau Farmland with Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	$\bigcirc$	Landscape Analysis: Plateau Farmland type, Pentland Hills and Black
									15m.		Max. Numbers in Group	1					Development Capacity
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					but the smallest turbines be developed.

## sed acceptable level of wind energy

### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

This LCA has a more unspoiled rural character than much of the lying at the foot of the Pentland Hills. It lies largely within the k Mount SLA.

**y:** The area appears currently wind turbine free. The 84m ill dominate the NW part of this LCA, limiting further scope for all s west of the North Medwin. No further turbines over 30m should

## **6. PLATEAU MOORLAND**

Plateau Moorland is a large scale, undulating upland landscape covering areas on the northern and western fringes of South Lanarkshire and extending into neighbouring local authority areas. It comprises large unenclosed areas of moorland with extensive areas of commercial forestry plantation. More recently very large scale windfarms have become characteristic over much of this type. Its lower slopes merge predominantly with the *Plateau Farmlands*. There are two main areas; the Western Plateau (Clyde and Ayrshire Basin Moorlands) and the Central Plateau. Smaller fragments of *Plateau Moorland* are scattered across *Plateau Farmland* areas in the north and further small areas are located in the centre of the local authority area. Plateau Moorland is distinguished from the similar *Rolling Moorland* to the south due to its lower elevation and less dissected nature with fewer distinguishable hill landforms. Five landscape character areas are identified: (i) Western Plateau: Whitelee Moorl Calder Water (ii) Central Plateau: Black Law (iii) Central Plateau, Forth/ Tarbrax/ West End; (iv) Western Plateau, Broken Cross/ Coalburn; (v) Western Plateau, Red Moss/Middle Muir



Table 6.1(e) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Plateau Moorland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	No C	apacity	/ 🔾 Lo	w Ca	apac	ity(	) м	ediu	m Capacity 🔵 High (	Capacity							
UNDE taking	RLYING account	LAND of curre	SCAPE	CAP ener	ACI gy de	ΓΥ (i. evelo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN DEVELOPMENT	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Sen nergy D	sitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	idsca lated	to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca It'd to	ing ape C o turbi	apac	<b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Gui
Landscape Character Sensitivitv	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
6. Plat	eau Mo	orland:	(i) Wes	stern	Plat	eau:	Whit	telee	Moor/ Calder Water	(ii) Central Plateau: E	Black Law	<u>.</u>	-		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>.</u>
Med/ Low	Med/ Low	Med/ Low	Med/ Low	$\bigcirc$					Several very large/ large windfarms and some single larger turbines currently consented	Wind Turbines on Plateau Moorland/ Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines	Wind Turbines on Plateau Moorland/ Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$		$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Landscape Analysis: scale undulating landfor extensive wind energy of have coalesced to creat
									within these LCAs.		Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5	10 +	10 +	backdrop to adjacent Pl
											<i>Min Group Separation Distances (km)</i>	1-2	3-5	3-5	0-1	0-1	Development Capacity extensive development. turbines added to either Black Law area gaps sh windfarm. Effects of pro- considered. Extensive g scale landscape: these s number and located in lo
6. Plat	eau Mo	orland:	(iii) Ce	ntral	Plat	eau,	Fort	h/ Ta	nrbrax/ West End	1		1	1		Ĩ		
Med	Med	Med	Med/ Low				$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Currently two small/ medium windfarms (Muirhall, Pates Hill) and a few turbines of varied	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines			$\bigcirc$	0	0	Landscape Analysis: the more extensive area set within a wider area of settlements, and are physical
									these areas. Harburnhead (22nr		Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5			Development Capacity
									turbines) and Pearie Law nearby in W.Lothian have been consented.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	3-5			turbines create an area intervening <i>Plateau Farr</i> would extend this effect largest <i>Plateau Moorlan</i> areas are smaller and/o Turbine height and grou 80m.

### ed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

idance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

These are the largest areas of *Plateau Moorland*, with a large rm and commercial forestry, capable of accommodating development. Individual commercial windfarm developments te areas characterised primarily by wind turbines, creating a lateau Farmland.

y: Much of the high underlying capacity has been utilised by However, further limited and carefully located development of of these areas would not significantly alter the landscape. In the nould be left between windfarm clusters to the east of Black Law eximity to settlements and other more sensitive LCTs should be proups of smaller turbines would not be appropriate to this larger should be separated from the main windfarms, restricted in ower more contained areas near farms and enclosure land.

These LCAs have a higher landscape and visual sensitivity than as in (i) and (ii). They are smaller fragments of unimproved land of Plateau Farmland. They are closer to roads and small ysically less able to accommodate extensive development.

y: most of the underlying capacity has been utilised: Muirhall, Harburnhead, Tormywheel and Black Law with other single of Landscape with Wind Turbines across Plateau Moorland and mland. Further significant development in the moorland areas blurring the distinction between the two landscape types. The nd area in (iii) is largely occupied by Muirhall windfarm. Other close to settlements, with the Hill Rig area close to Black Law. up size within remaining areas should be limited to approximately

Key:	No C	apacit	y 🔵 La	w Ca	арас	ity (	) м	ediu	m Capacity High (	Capacity							
UNDEI taking a	RLYING account	LAND of curre	SCAPE ent wind	CAP ener	ACI gy d	TY (i. evelo	e. no pmei	rt nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	б то	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELC	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Sen nergy D	isitivity evelopn	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>idsca</b> lated e)	ape C to tu	apac rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Ren Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> I <b>dsca</b> It'd to	ng ape C o turbi	apac ine si	: <b>ity</b> ze)	Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Guid
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
6. Plat	eau Mo	orland:	(iv) We	esteri	n Pla	iteau	, Bro	oken	Cross/ Coalburn		-			<u> </u>			•
Med	Med	Med	Med/ Low				$\bigcirc$	0	Currently up to 9 turbines between 50m and 120m consented within or close to Broken Cross area.	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	ightarrow	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: 1 and river valleys. Any wi dominate them and affect
									Dalquhandy development (15x126.5m) adjacent to		Max. Numbers in Group	1-5	1-5	1-5	1-3		Development Capacity area already accommod
									Coaldum is consented.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	3-5	6- 12		become a wind turbine la The Coalburn area is ind the proximity of Coalburr
6. Plat	eau Mo	orland:	(v) We	stern	Pla	teau,	Rec	I Mo	ss/ Middle Muir	L	•	-		<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	L
Med	Med/ Low	Med/ Low	Med/ Low	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	Consented Andershaw and Middle Muir windfarms adjacent, occupying <i>Rolling</i>	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines/ no Wind Turbines	Plateau Moorland with Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	Landscape Analysis: T as it is particularly feature landforms of <i>Rolling Mod</i>
									Moorland to the west and the western part of this		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3	1			Development Capacity this area Plateau Moorla
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	3-5			development. Smaller sin Upland River Valley of th residential receptors.

## ed acceptable level of wind energy

dance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

These LCAs are small in extent and close to settlements, roads nd energy development with 3 or more 80-120m turbines would ct surrounding Plateau Farmland and valley landscapes.

Both areas have limited further capacity. The Broken Cross ates several turbines in or adjacent to the southwest and would andscape if a significant development were consented within it. lirectly affected by the adjacent Dalquhandy development and n village limits scope for further significant development.

This area is fairly limited in extent, but appears larger in scale eless and merges with the more distinctive but large scale orland to the north and west.

: Middle Muir turbines together with Andershaw make most of and with Wind Turbines, limiting capacity for further ngle turbines may be located close to the boundary with the ne Duneaton Water subject to the assessment of effects on

# **7. ROLLING MOORLAND**

Rolling Moorland is an upland type that extends across a large part of western South Lanarkshire, being located in the Clyde and Ayrshire Basins Moorlands between the Avon valley and the Southern Uplands. This type is similar to the *Plateau Moorlands* but is a more dissected plateau, with greater elevation and more rolling topography, without being of such high elevation and steepness as the *Southern Uplands* to the south. Prominent hills include Cairn Table and Hagshaw Hill. This type is currently less developed with windfarms than the *Plateau Moorland*. The type extends extensively westwards into East Ayrshire. Two landscape character areas are identified for the purposes of this study: (i) Hagshaw/ Dungavel (North of Douglas Water); (ii) Crawfordjohn/ Cairn Table (South of Douglas Water).



Table 6.1(f) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Rolling Moorland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	No C	apacity	/ 🔵 La	w Ca	apac	ity(	) м	ediu	m Capacity 🔵 High (	Capacity							
UNDER taking a	RLYING account	LAND of curre	SCAPE ent wind	CAP ener	ACI gy de	ΓΥ (i. evelo	.e. no opme	nt)	CURRENT CONSENT	ſED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	то	FUT	URE	DE\	/ELC	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Sen nergy Do	sitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	<b>idsca</b> lated e)	to tu	<b>apac</b> rbine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca It'd to	ng ape C o turb	<b>apac</b> ine si	<b>city</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
7. Roll	ing Moo	orland:	(i) Hag	shaw	/ Du	ngav	/el (l	lorth	of Douglas Water)		-	<u></u>		<u></u>			
Med	Med	Med	Med	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	4 windfarms south of Strathaven (Bankend Rig, Dungavel, Kype Muir and Auchrobert). 3	Wind Turbines on Rolling Moorland / with Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	Wind Turbines on Rolling Moorland / with Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	Landscape Analysis: forestry and low settlem <i>Plateau Moorland</i> but m wind turbines. They form
									windfarms coalescing to form a large cluster of 74		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3	1	5- 20	5- 20	into <i>Plateau Farmland</i> to
									turbines between 55 and 125m tall, west of Douglas (Hagshaw Hill, Nutberry, Galawhistle), with 15 Dalquhandy turbines close to the northeast. Several smaller single turbines (up to 80m height) located on lower edges of the LCT.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	3-5	5- 10	5- 10	Development Capacity accommodate medium developments have utili There is some scope fo separated from existing turbine size in locations
7. Roll	ing Moo	orland:	(ii) Cra	wfor	djoh	n/ C	airn	Tabl	e (South of Douglas W	/ater)		-		-	_		L
Med	Med	Med	Med	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	Two adjacent consented medium/large windfarms at Andershaw and Middlemuir, forming one	Rolling Moorland with Wind Turbines/ No Wind Turbines	Rolling Moorland with Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$			$\bigcirc$	Landscape Analysis: Water but less inhabited important panoramic vie
									large windfarm. One small/medium windfarm at Penbreck on the		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3	1	5- 20	5- 20	Development Capacity windfarms. There may b
									western edge. No single turbines.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	1-2	2-5	3-5	5- 10	5- 10	well separated from the should remain free of tu

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

Rolling landform, simple landscape pattern of moorland and nent density, extending west into Ayrshire. This LCT is similar to nore distinctive landforms are less suited to blanket coverage by m the skyline for more settled Upland River Valleys and merge to the E.

**y**: This landscape area has the underlying capacity to to large clusters of larger turbines. However consented ised much of the capacity and exceed capacity in some areas. or further discrete developments in peripheral locations, well windfarms. Careful consideration should be given to limiting with more modest distinctions in landform.

Similar characteristics and capacity to the area north of Douglas d. More limited in area. Cairn Table on border with Ayrshire is an ewpoint.

y: Underlying capacity is partially utilised by the three consented be capacity for discrete developments of limited size in areas ese locations. The area around the key viewpoint of Cairn Table urbines.

## 8. UPLAND RIVER VALLEY

Upland River Valley is an upland fringe type located in the west of South Lanarkshire, predominantly in the Clyde and Ayrshire Basins Moorlands. The type comprises river valleys draining northeastwards from the Rolling Moorlands, Plateau Farmlands and Southern Uplands into the River Clyde. Four landscape character areas are identified: (i) Avon Water; (ii) River Nethan (east of M74); (iii) Douglas Water; (iv) Duneaton Water



# 9. BROAD VALLEY UPLAND

Broad Valley Upland is an upland fringe type located in the middle and east of South Lanarkshire, predominantly in the Southern Uplands Foothills. The type comprises broad meandering river valleys of the Clyde and its tributaries, draining northwards from the Southern Uplands, Pentland Hills and Clyde & Ayrshire Basin Moorlands into the Clyde Basin Farmlands. Three landscape character areas are identified: (i) Upper Clyde; (ii) Medwin Water; (iii) Douglas Water



Table 6.1(g) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Upland River Valley and Broad Valley Upland (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	No C	Capacity	/ 🔾 Lo	w Ca	арас	ity (	) м	ediu	m Capacity 🔵 High (	Capacity							
UNDEI taking a	RLYING account	LAND	SCAPE ent wind	CAP ener	ACI <sup>-</sup> gy de	TY (i. evelo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSENT	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	б то	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Ser nergy D	nsitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	idsca lated	to tur	<b>apac</b> bine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> dsca lt'd to	ing ape C o turbi	<b>apac</b> ne si	i <b>ty</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
8. Upla	and Riv	er Valle	y: <i>(i)</i> A	von	Wate	er; (ii	) Riv	er N	ethan (east of M74); (i	iii) Douglas Water; (i	v) Duneaton Water		_	-	_		
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Currently limited development of single turbines (between 15 and 80m tall) within or close to the LCT, but not in	Upland River Valley with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines/ With Wind Turbines	Upland River Valley with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines/ With Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: limits the scope for large valley floors and surrou development.
									Duneaton valley. All 4 valleys affected to varving extent by turbines		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3				Development Capacity groups. Areas surround
									in surrounding moorland and farmland LCAs.		Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3	3-5				Nethan and Douglas Wa Douglas and the design limited.
9. Broa	ad Valle	ey Uplaı	nd: <i>(i) l</i>	Jppe	r Cly	/de; (	(ii) M	ledw	in Water; (iii) Douglas	Water		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	$\bigcirc$	Currently limited development of a few single/ paired mainly smaller turbines within or	Broad Valley Upland with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	Broad Valley Upland with Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	Landscape Analysis: farmland; contrasting wi Abington and the upper Elvanfoot affected by ba
									close to the LCT. Clyde turbines lie above Upper		Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3				Dovelopment Capacity
									Clyde from Abington to Elvanfoot.		<i>Min Group Separation Distances (km)</i>	2-3	4-5				turbines in scale with la Plateau Farmland and S Foothills and Pentland I

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

Relatively modest scale of valley sides and settled valley floors er scale developments. Need to maintain difference between inding upland areas which have underlying capacity for extensive

y: Capacity limited to occasional smaller turbines in small led by/ containing a significant number of turbines (Upper Avon, aters) have limited remaining capacity.. Development around ned landscape around the Douglas Water should be strictly

Settled valley floors of medium-large scale with improved ith surrounding uplands and foothills. All of the Clyde to Medwin lies within SLAs. Upper Clyde from Abington to ackdrop of Clyde turbines.

y: Development should be limited to single/ small group smaller indscape and keeping contrast with adjacent developed areas in Southern Uplands. The area lying between the Southern Upland Hills should be limited to turbines of up to 30m height.

# **10. FOOTHILLS**

Foothills is an upland fringe type located in the centre and east of South Lanarkshire, predominantly in the Southern Uplands Foothills, with the main area surrounded on three sides by the upper River Clyde. The type comprises lower hills transitioning between the Clyde Basin Farmlands and the Southern Uplands which lie south of the Clyde. The type comprises mixed moorland and improved upland fringe farmland character, with areas of forestry. Three larger Prominent Isolated Foothills have been distinguished from these lower hills. Three landscape character areas are identified: (i) Carmichael/ Roberton (ii) Biggar Common/ Quothquan Law (iii) Broomy Law



Table 6.1(h) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development in Foothills (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key:	No C	apacity	/ 🔾 Lo	w Ca	арас	ity(	) м	ediu	m Capacity 🔵 High 🤇	Capacity							
UNDEI taking a	RLYING account	i LANDS	SCAPE	CAP ener	ACI gy d	TY (i. evelo	e. no pmer	t nt)	CURRENT CONSEN	TED	PROPOSED LIMITS development)	б ТО	FUT	URE	DEV	/ELO	PMENT (i.e. propos
Landso Wind E	ape Sen nergy D	sitivity evelopm	to nent	Lan (Re size	ndsca lated e)	to tu	<b>apac</b> bine	ity	Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Current Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Rer Lan (Re	<b>naini</b> Idsca It'd to	ng ipe C turb	apac ne si	i <b>ty</b> ze)	Analysis & Guidelin (Refer to Detailed Gu
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
10. Fo	othills:	(i) Carı	nichael	/Rob	perto	n				<u>-</u>							
Med	Med/ High	Med	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	C	0	0	0	Current development comprises 4x 15-30m turbines on periphery of	Foothills with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind	Foothills with no Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: Southern Uplands with forestry. This extensive
									LCA.	Turbines	Max. Numbers in Group	1-3	1-3				a key local viewpoint. The Upper Clyde Valley and south all have extern
											<i>Min Group Separation Distances (km)</i>	2-3	4-5				<b>Development Capacity</b> Southern Upland Foothi cumulative developmen discouraged. Smaller tu backclothed by higher g
10. Fo	othills:	(ii) Big	gar Coi	nmo	n/ Q	uoth	quar	n Lav	v	<u>.</u>	•	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u></u>	
Med	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	$\bigcirc$	С	0	0	0	2x 15-30m turbines on western periphery of LCA.	Foothills with no Wind Turbines	Foothills with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: The town and part of the prominent hill above the
											Max. Numbers in Group	1-3					Development Capacity with minimal development
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					<ul> <li>Significant</li> <li>Signific</li></ul>
10. Fo	othills:	(iii) Bro	oomy L	aw			1		I				1	1	1	1	
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med	$\bigcirc$	С	0	0	0	3x 15-30m turbines on/ close to periphery of LCA.	Foothills with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	Foothills with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: A part of a bigger area of a within Scottish Borders.
											Max. Numbers in Group	1-3					Development Capacity turbines close to the pro-
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

idance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

The Foothills LCT comprises lower undulating hills north of the varied land use; from moorland to improved farmland and LCA lies between Clyde/ Douglas Water Valleys and Tinto Hill, he northern part and area west of Rigside lie respectively within and Tinto and Douglas Valley SLAs. Areas to the north, west nsive cumulative wind energy development.

**y:** This is part of a larger area of minimal development in the ills and Pentland Hills lying between areas of significant nt in which commercial scale development should be urbines should be closely associated with buildings and/ or ground/ trees.

This is a low *Foothill* area north of Biggar, providing a setting to Clyde. It lies within an SLA. Quothquan Law is small but Clyde, with a hillfort located on its summit.

**y:** As with the other *Foothill* LCAs, this is part of a wider area ent between areas of significant cumulative wind energy nt commercial development should be discouraged, with turbines with lower slopes and buildings. There is no capacity for per parts of the two hills.

A small but prominent foothill lying within South Lanarkshire, but similar landscape type (Grassland with Hills) lying to the west Prominent phone masts on top of the hill.

y: As other areas within this type. There is no capacity for pminent hill top and communication mast cluster.

## **11. PROMINENT ISOLATED HILLS**

Prominent Isolated Hills is an upland type located in the centre and east of South Lanarkshire, within the Southern Uplands Foothills, intermingled with lower Foothills, Plateau and Rolling Farmland and lying above the Broad Valley Uplands of the Upper Clyde or the Medwin Water. The type comprises three prominent hills in the area transitioning between the Clyde Basin Farmlands and the Southern Uplands. These larger Prominent Isolated Foothills have been distinguished from the lower hills and farmland due to their scale and steep conical landforms and include Tinto Hill, one of the most distinctive features within South Lanarkshire. Three landscape character areas are identified: (i) Tinto; (ii) Black Mount; (iii) Dungavel Hill



# **12. OLD RED SANDSTONE HILLS**

Old Red Sandstone Hills is an upland type in the northeast of South Lanarkshire, represented by the southwestern end of the Pentland Hills. It is surrounded by Plateau and Rolling Farmland. The type comprises undulating and rolling moorland hills that are lower and less steep than the Pentland Hills lying to the north; but form part of an unbroken chain of hills stretching northeast 30km to Edinburgh. The single landscape character area is the Western Pentland Hills.



Table 6.1(i) Summary of Landscape Capacity, Cumulative Effects and Guidance for Future Wind Energy Development for Prominent Isolated Foothills and Old Red Sandstone Hills (see also Figures 6.1 to 6.4 for maps)

Key: No Capacity Low Capacity Medium Capacity High Capacity																	
UNDERLYING LANDSCAPE CAPACITY (i.e. not taking account of current wind energy development)									CURRENT CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT		PROPOSED LIMITS TO FUTURE DEVELOPMENT (i.e. proposed development)						
Landscape Sensitivity to Wind Energy DevelopmentLand (Relative size)					andscape Capacity Related to turbine size)				Existing/ Consented Developments (March 2015)	Future Wind Energy Landscape Type(s)	Remaining Landscape Capacity (Relt'd to turbine size)					Analysis & Guideline (Refer to Detailed Gu	
Landscape Character Sensitivity	Visual Sensitivity	Landscape Sensitivity	Landscape Value	15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m				15-<30m	30-<50m	50-<80m	80-<120m	Over 120m	
11. Prominent Isolated Hills: (i) Tinto; (ii) Black Mount; (iii) Dungavel Hill																	
Med/ High	High	Med/ High	High	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Currently a few 15-30m turbines close to or at base of hills.	<i>Prominent Isolated Foothills with no Wind Turbines</i>	Prominent Isolated Foothills with no Wind Turbines/ Occasional Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: Southern Uplands, set v These hills are very pro panoramic viewpoint an
											Max. Numbers in Group	1-3					Development Capacity remain substantially fre
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					located at the foot of hill fields and backclothed a
12. Old Red Sandstone Hills: Western Pentland Hills																	
Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High	Med/ High		0			0	One 84m and 5x 15-30m turbines on periphery/ close to LCA. Muirhall windfarm (8 turbines 125- 147.5m) lies 1-2km W	Old Red Sandstone Hills with No Wind Turbines/ with Wind Turbines	Old Red Sandstone Hills with No Wind Turbines/ with Wind Turbines	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	0	0	0	Landscape Analysis: peripheral enclosures at extensive range of hills. LCA forms the northern but lies just southwest of at Muirhall and Harburn development and have at Development Capacity refused at appeal. Any f located, well-separated development in areas ba
											Max. Numbers in Group	1-3					
											Min Group Separation Distances (km)	2-3					

### sed acceptable level of wind energy

#### es

uidance for Further Information on Siting and Design)

These LCAs comprise the three largest foothills north of the within a wider area of foothills and farmland around the Clyde. minent and widely visible; particularly Tinto which is a key nd recreational destination. All lie within SLAs

**y**: These prominent areas are visually sensitive and should e of wind energy development. Capacity only for turbines <30m lls, where clearly associated with built development/ enclosed against trees and/or slopes.

A landscape of open, undulating moorland and rolling hills with and forestry, forming the southwestern end of a much more . Lies within the wider Pentland Hills and Blackmount SLA. This extent of an area without significant wind energy development of significant operational/ consented commercial developments head (W. Lothian) which form part of a wider area of cumulative an indirect effect on the NW edge of this LCA.

y: Two significant windfarm proposals east of the A70 have been further development in this LCA should be limited to peripherally small turbines associated with residential/ agricultural ackclothed by higher ground and/or trees.